
From: Rosemary Langlands [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 August 2023 15:33
To: localplanconsultations
Cc: mail@johnjcmoss.com
Subject: Borough wide LP1

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Categories: Green category

I have received the revised LP1 amended as per the Govt Inspectors suggestions. I would like to put in a 'protective appeal' against it until we see the revised (presumably LP3 The Vision for Chingford Mount Town Centre'. Until folk that live in this part of Chingford see that detail how can they comment!

LP1 and LP2 versions of the 'Vision CMTC' both show 90 flats in 3 blocks where the car park and adjacent Sainsbury's store is situated, and 90 where Age Concern (the old Library) is and hundreds at what the council want to call Albert Corner. Where Superdrugs, Iceland, burned out space and car park are not present. It also shows the blocking off Cherrydown Ave, no buses in Albert Cres, in fact pedestrianizing the area. Now I see 172 flats are due for the Mount - where? Are the 72 flats due for the Hurren's site included in that figure?

Do we get the public toilets we have asked for in Albert Cres? The proposed toilets in the Park is not what we need - they will be closed if the park is closed - pointless.

The reality is folk in the area want to keep it semi rural - it is NOT suburban in our opinion and we do not want it to become so - in fact if the council has its way it will become totally urban. UGLY.

As Sixty Bricks Ltd can't sell the flats it has built why build more?

As NONE of our local homeless are housed in any new properties why build them? It would seem it is just to make money! Not to house local folk. No one can afford to buy at the moment and if they did who in their right mind would buy a one bedroomed flat for over £400k no where near a train station..

[Rosemary Langlands](#)

