



planning advisory service

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

This note was prepared by AMEC on behalf of the Planning Advisory Service. It aims to help local authorities prepare their plans in advance of an examination, taking into account the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework. A separate checklist looks at legal compliance.

In summary – the key requirements of plan preparation are:

- Has the plan been positively prepared i.e. based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed requirements?
- Is the plan justified?
- Is it based on robust and credible evidence?
- Is it the most appropriate strategy when considered against the alternatives?
- Is the document effective?
- Is it deliverable?
- Is it flexible?
- Will it be able to be monitored?
- Is it consistent with national policy?

The Tests of Soundness at Examination

The starting point for the examination is the assumption that the Council has submitted what it considers to be a sound plan. Those seeking changes should demonstrate why the plan is unsound by reference to one or more of the soundness criteria.

The tests of soundness are set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (para 182): “The Local Plan will be examined by an independent inspector whose role is to assess whether the plan has been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements, and whether it is sound. A local planning authority should submit a plan for examination which it considers is ‘sound’ “, namely that it is:

1. Positively Prepared: based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements

This means that the Development Plan Document (DPD) should be based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development. The NPPF has 12 principles through which it expects sustainable development can be achieved.

2. Justified: the most appropriate strategy when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence

This means that the DPD should be based on a robust and credible evidence base involving:

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

- Research/fact finding: the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts.
- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area; and

The DPD should also provide the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The DPD should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use objectives of sustainability will be achieved.

3. Effective: deliverable over its period based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities

This means the DPD should be deliverable, requiring evidence of:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning;
- Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery;
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it; and
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities.
- The DPD should be flexible and able to be monitored.

The DPD should indicate who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen. The plan should be flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the DPD should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation. Any measures which the Council has included to make sure that targets are met should be clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report.

4. Consistent with national policy: enabling the delivery of sustainable development

The demonstration of this is a 'lead' policy on sustainable development which specifies how decisions are to be made against the sustainability criterion (see the Planning Portal for a model policy www.planningportal.gov.uk). If you are not using this model policy, the Council will need to provide clear and convincing reasons to justify its approach.

The following table sets out the requirements associated with these four tests of soundness. Suggestions for evidence which could be used to support these requirements are set out, although these have to be viewed in the context of the plan being prepared. Please don't assume that you have got to provide all of these, they are just suggestions of what could be relevant.

In addition, the Legal Compliance checklist (a separate document, see www.pas.gov.uk) should be completed to ensure that this aspect is covered.

The Duty to Co-operate will also be assessed as part of the examination process.

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<i>Positively Prepared: the plan should be prepared based on a strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development.</i>		

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p><i>Vision and Objectives</i></p> <p>Has the LPA clearly identified what the issues are that the DPD is seeking to address? Have priorities been set so that it is clear what the DPD is seeking to achieve?</p> <p>Does the DPD contain clear vision(s) and objectives which are specific to the place? Is there a direct relationship between the identified issues, the vision(s) and the objectives?</p> <p>Is it clear how the policies will meet the objectives? Are there any obvious gaps in the policies, having regard to the objectives of the DPD?</p> <p>Have reasonable alternatives to the quantum of development and overall spatial strategy been considered?</p> <p>Are the policies internally consistent?</p> <p>Are there realistic timescales related to the objectives?</p> <p>Does the DPD explain how its key policy objectives will be achieved?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD and other documents which set out (where applicable) the vision, strategic objectives, key outcomes expected, spatial portrait and issues to be addressed. • Relevant sections of the DPD which explain how policies derive from the objectives and are designed to meet them. • The strategic objectives of the DPD, and the commentary in the DPD of how they derive from the spatial portrait and vision, and how the objectives are consistent with one another. • Sections of the DPD which address delivery, the means of delivery and the timescales for key developments through evidenced infrastructure delivery planning. • Confirmation from the relevant agencies that they support the objectives and the identified means of delivery. • Information in the local development scheme, or provided separately, about the scope and content (actual and intended) of each DPD showing how they combine to provide a coherent policy structure. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Sections 6 – Key Issues and Section 8 – Vision and Objectives of the document provide a summary of the existing context, the issues the area faces, and clearly set out a vision and objectives that will shape the future of the town centre and future developments within it.</p> <p>Section 8 – Vision and Objectives of the document sets out a clear vision and objectives; which respond to the issues identified in Sections 6 – Key Issues. Each area wide policy section has the relevant strategic objectives stated at the beginning. The Implementation Plan and Monitoring table in section 35/36 – clearly set out the relationship between objectives, policies and actions.</p> <p>The Implementation Plan and Monitoring table in section 35/36 – clearly set out the relationship between objectives, policies and actions. Relevant objectives are stated at the start of each area specific section. All objectives are addressed by at least one policy within the AAP.</p> <p>The AAP is required to be in conformity with the adopted Core</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>Strategy; which has already established the broad quantum of development for the AAP. The Council has received no objections to the Vision or Objectives from any consultee or agency.</p> <p>The issues and options consultation, Preferred Options AAP and Sustainability Appraisal have documented reasonable policy alternatives (options) considered for the vision, policies, and opportunity sites (including development quantum).</p> <p>Policies within the AAP are internally consistent, and links and cross references are provided throughout the document. The Sustainability Appraisal process has also been used to test the policies contained in the document, and did not identify and internal inconsistency.</p> <p>Section 35 - Implementation includes an implementation plan and indicative development targets for key sites; which will secure the achievement of the key objectives (and policy proposals) when delivered. Timescales are also clearly set out in a phasing plan. The Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan is also a key part of the evidence base.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		Section 35 - Implementation sets out how key policy objectives will be achieved through 'actions' e.g. the successful delivery of infrastructure, projects and opportunity sites.
<p><i>The presumption in favour of sustainable development (NPPF paras 6-17)</i></p> <p>Local Plans should meet objectively assessed needs, with sufficient flexibility to adapt to rapid change, unless:</p> <p>—any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole; or</p> <p>—specific policies in this Framework indicate development should be restricted.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An evidence base which establishes the development needs of the plan area (see Justified below) and includes a flexible approach to delivery (see 'Section 3 Effective', below). • An audit trail showing how and why the quantum of development, preferred overall strategy and plan area distribution of development were arrived at. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The AAP is supported by a significant evidence base justifying the approach taken, as set out in Appendix 1. This evidence base is considered to be 'up-to-date'.</p> <p>Policy WTC1 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development clearly sets out compliance with this aspect of the NPPF.</p> <p>A flexible approach to delivery (particularly Opportunity Sites) is outlined in paragraphs 15.2 and 15.3 of the document.</p> <p>There is a clear audit trail demonstrating the documents evolution (including why certain development sites were included, how area wide policies were development and how development quanta were established) from Issues and Options consultation to AAP Preferred</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		Options (and Sustainability Appraisal) to the Submission draft document (see Statement of Consultation Regulation 22(1)(c))
<p>Policies in Local Plans should follow the approach of the presumption in favour of sustainable development so that it is clear that development which is sustainable can be approved without delay. All plans should be based upon and reflect the presumption in favour of sustainable development, with clear policies that will guide how the presumption should be applied locally.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A policy or policies which reflect the principles of the presumption in favour of sustainable development (see model policy at www.planningportal.gov.uk). 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Policy WTC1 – Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development clearly sets out this intention.</p>
<p><i>Objectively assessed needs</i></p> <p>The economic, social and environmental needs of the authority area addressed and clearly presented in a fashion which makes effective use of land and specifically promotes mixed use development, and take account of cross-boundary and strategic issues.</p> <p>Note: Meeting these needs should be subject to the caveats specified in Paragraph 14 of the NPPF (see above).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background evidence papers demonstrating requirements based on population forecasts, employment projections and community needs. Technical papers demonstrating how the aspirations and objectives of the DPD are related to the evidence, and how these are to be met, including from consultation and associated with the Duty to Co-operate. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The ‘objectively assessed needs’ of the AAP area are supported by a significant evidence base justifying the approach taken, as set out in Appendix 1. This evidence base is considered to be ‘up-to-date’.</p> <p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD and Development Management Policies DPD; which has already established the broad economic, social and environmental needs of the authority area, supports the most effective use of land specifically promotes mixed use development, and take account of cross-boundary and strategic issues.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>The accompanying Sustainability Appraisal considers and assesses the economic, social, and environmental needs of the area.</p> <p>The Council has prepared a Duty to cooperate statement which clearly sets out how we have taken account of cross-boundary and strategic issues.</p>
NPPF Principles: Delivering sustainable development		
1. Building a strong, competitive economy (paras 18-22)		
Set out a clear economic vision and strategy for the area which positively and proactively encourages sustainable economic growth (21),	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Articulation of a clear economic vision and strategy for the plan area linked to the Economic Strategy and LEP Strategy where appropriate. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Council's approach to encouraging sustainable economic growth in the area is clearly set out in the vision, objectives SO1, SO2, SO3 and SO9 and policies WTC3 – Retail, WTC4 – Leisure, Entertainment, Culture and Tourism and WTC5 – Employment.</p>
Recognise and seek to address potential barriers to investment, including poor environment or any lack of infrastructure, services or housing (21)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A criteria-based policy which meets identified needs and is positive and flexible in planning for specialist sectors, regeneration, infrastructure provision, environmental enhancement. • An up-to-date assessment of the deliverability of allocated employment sites, to meet local needs, (taking into account that LPAs should avoid the long term protection of sites allocated for employment use where there is no reasonable prospect of an 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The Plan sets out a number of criteria based polices which seek to address potential parries to investment (as outlined in section 6 – Key Issues) These include polices relation housing</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
	allocated site being used for that purpose) para (22)	Growth (WTC2), Retail growth (WTC3), Jobs growth (WTC5), Transport Improvements (WTC6) and Public Realm Improvements (WTC9 and 10) etc.
2. Ensuring the vitality of town centres (paras 23-37)		
Policies should be positive, promote competitive town centre environments, and set out policies for the management and growth of centres over the plan period (23)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Plan and its policies may include such matters as: definition of networks and hierarchies; defining town centres; encouragement of residential development on appropriate sites; allocation of appropriate edge of centre sites where suitable and viable town centre sites are not available; consideration of retail and leisure proposals which cannot be accommodated in or adjacent to town centres. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 10 – High Quality Shopping, Business and Visitor Centre sets out the Council approach to promoting the competitiveness of Walthamstow town centre. Other chapters further support this such as section 12 – Design and Place making by encouraging improvements to the public realm and section 11 - Movement and Accessibility encouraging transport improvements. Section 9 – Town Centre Neighbourhood promotes the residential development to further support the town centre’s growth.</p>
Allocate a range of suitable sites to meet the scale and type of retail, leisure, commercial, office, tourism, cultural, community services and residential development needed in town centres (23)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> An assessment of the need to expand (the) town centre(s), considering the needs of town centre uses. Primary and secondary shopping frontages identified and allocated. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 15 - Key Opportunity Sites sets out the key development sites within the town centre and allocates them to</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		meet the scale and type of retail, leisure, commercial, office, tourism, cultural, community services and residential development needed in town centres.
3. Supporting a prosperous rural economy (para 28)		
Support sustainable economic growth in rural areas. Planning strategies should promote a strong rural economy by taking a positive approach to new development. (28)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where relevant include a policy or policies which support the sustainable growth of rural businesses; promote the development and diversification of agricultural businesses; support sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments, and support local services and facilities. 	N/A
4. Promoting sustainable transport (paras 29-41)		
<p>Facilitate sustainable development whilst contributing to wider sustainability and health objectives. (29)</p> <p>Balance the transport system in favour of sustainable transport modes and give people a real choice about how they travel whilst recognising that different policies will be required in different communities and opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary from urban to rural areas. (29)</p> <p>Encourage solutions which support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and congestion (29) including supporting a pattern of development which, where reasonable to do so, facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport. (30)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Joint working with adjoining authorities, transport providers and Government Agencies on infrastructure provision in order to support sustainable economic growth with particular regard to the facilities referred to in paragraph 31. Policies encouraging development which facilitates the use of sustainable modes of transport and a range of transport choices where appropriate, particularly the criteria in paragraph 35. A spatial strategy and policy which seeks to reduce the need to travel through balancing housing and employment provision. Policy for major developments which promotes a mix of uses and access to key facilities by sustainable transport modes. If local (car parking) standards have been prepared, are they justified and necessary? (39) Identification and protection of sites and routes where infrastructure could be developed to widen transport choice linked to the Local Transport Plan. 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166); which set out policies in relation to health, sustainable transport, reducing greenhouse emissions supports and take account of cross-boundary and strategic issues.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 11 - Movement and Accessibility sets out the Council's approach towards promoting sustainable transport within the town centre; which includes prioritising pedestrians and cyclists, and securing</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>Local authorities should work with neighbouring authorities and transport providers to develop strategies for the provision of viable infrastructure necessary to support sustainable development. (31)</p> <p>Opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure. (32)</p> <p>Ensure that developments which generate significant movement are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised (34)</p> <p>Plans should protect and exploit opportunities for the use of sustainable transport modes for the movement of goods or people. (35)</p> <p>Policies should aim for a balance of land uses so that people can be encouraged to minimize journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities. (37)</p> <p>For larger scale residential developments in particular, planning policies should promote a mix of uses in order to provide opportunities to undertake day-to-day activities including work on site. Where practical, particularly within large-scale developments, key facilities such as primary schools and local shops should be located within walking distance of most properties. (38)</p> <p>The setting of car parking standards including provision for town centres. (39-40)</p>		<p>higher density developments in areas best served by public transport, encourage mixed uses development and parking requirements. The infrastructure plan in Section 35 - Implementation also sets out planned investment in the transport infrastructure over the plan period.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
Local planning authorities should identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice. (41)		
5. Supporting high quality communications infrastructure (paras 42-46)		
<p>Support the expansion of the electronic communications networks, including telecommunications' masts and high speed broadband. (43)</p> <p>Local planning authorities should not impose a ban on new telecommunications development in certain areas, impose blanket Article 4 directions over a wide area or a wide range of telecommunications development or insist on minimum distances between new telecommunications development and existing development. (44)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy supporting the expansion of electronic communications networks, including telecommunications and high speed broadband, noting the caveats in para 44. 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166)</p>
6. Delivering a wide choice of high quality housing (paras 47-55)		
<p>Identify and maintain a rolling supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against their housing requirements; this should include an additional buffer of 5% or 20% (moved forward from later in the plan period) to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. 20% buffer applies where there has been persistent under delivery of housing(47)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) five years or more supply of specific deliverable sites; plus the buffer as appropriate • Where this element of housing supply includes windfall sites, inclusion of 'compelling evidence' to justify their inclusion (48) • A SHLAA 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166)</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The Council's five year land supply (and buffer) is set out in the Core Strategy. The Core Strategy sets out a housing target for the AAP area. The</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>AAP provides a number of 'deliverable' sites which will contribute to this housing requirement. Section 9 – Town Centre Neighbourhood sets out housing policy. The phasing of sites suitable for housing within the town centre is provided in section 35 – Implementation.</p> <p>The SHLAA is a key part of the evidence base.</p>
<p>Identify a supply of developable sites or broad locations for years 6-10 and, where possible, years 11-15 (47).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of a supply of developable sites or broad locations for: a) years 6-10; b) years 11-15 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). The Council's five year land supply (and buffer) is set out in the Core Strategy. The AAP provides a number of 'deliverable' sites which will contribute to this housing requirement. The phasing of sites suitable for housing within the town centre is provided in section 35 – Implementation.</p>
<p>Illustrate the expected rate of housing delivery through a trajectory; and set out a housing implementation strategy describing how a five year supply will be maintained. (47)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A housing trajectory • Monitoring of completions and permissions (47) • Updated and managed SHLAA. (47) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). The Council's housing trajectory is set out in the Core Strategy. The phasing (expected delivery) of sites suitable for housing within the town centre is provided in</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>section 35 – Implementation.</p> <p>The Annual Monitoring Report sets out the Council’s housing permissions and completions.</p>
<p>Set out the authority’s approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances (47).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy on the density of development. 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166).</p>
<p>Plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic and market trends, and needs of different groups (50) and caters for housing demand and the scale of housing supply to meet this demand. (para 159)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy on planning for a mix of housing (including self-build, and housing for older people • SHMA • Identification of the size, type, tenure and range of housing required in particular locations, reflecting local demand. (50) • Evidence for housing provision based on up to date, objectively assessed needs. (50) • Policy on affordable housing and consideration for the need for on-site provision or if off-site provision or financial contributions are sought, where these can these be justified and to what extent do they contribute to the objective of creating mixed and balanced communities. (50) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). The Core Strategy provides policies which seek a mix of housing (including by type, tenure and range of housing) and affordable housing.</p> <p>Creating and establishing a sustainable neighbourhood Innovatively designed buildings is a key element to the vision of the town centre and strategic objectives.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 9 – Town Centre Neighbourhood sets out the Council’s approach to delivery of new housing within the town centre. It seeks to secure the town centre as sustainable</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>communities.</p> <p>The evidence base is up-to-date and includes Waltham Forest's SHMA, Affordable Housing Viability Assessment and Local Plan Viability Assessment.</p>
<p>In rural areas be responsive to local circumstances and plan housing development to reflect local needs, particularly for affordable housing, including through rural exception sites where appropriate (54).</p> <p>In rural areas housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of allowing some market housing to facilitate the provision of significant additional affordable housing to meet local needs. • Consideration of the case for resisting inappropriate development of residential gardens. (This is discretionary)(para 53) • Examples of special circumstances to allow new isolated homes listed at para 55. 	N/A
<p>7. Requiring good design (paras 56-68)</p>		
<p>Develop robust and comprehensive policies that set out the quality of development that will be expected for the area (58).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of policy or policies which seek to increase the quality of development through the principles set out at para 58 and approaches in paras 59-61, linked to the vision for the area and specific local issues 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which seek quality development.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Innovatively designed buildings is a key element to the vision of the town centre and strategic objectives.</p> <p>Section 12 – Design and Place Making</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		sets out the Council's approach to securing quality development within the Walthamstow context.
8. Promoting healthy communities (paras 69-77)		
<p>Policies should aim to design places which: promote community interaction, including through mixed-use development; are safe and accessible environments; and are accessible developments (69).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of a policy or policies on inclusive communities. • Promotion of opportunities for meetings between members of the community who might not otherwise come into contact with each other, including through mixed-use developments which bring together those who work, live and play in the vicinity; safe and accessible environments where crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion; and accessible developments, containing clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas. (69) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which seek to promote community cohesion and interaction.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Community cohesion and increased social interaction, mixed used development and safe neighbourhoods is a key element to the vision of the town centre.</p> <p>Section 9 – Town Centre Neighbourhood promotes mixed use development, Section 12 – Design and Place Making seeks public realm improvements to secure a safe and accessible environment and Section 13 – A sustainable centres seeks to promote community cohesion and interaction.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>Policies should plan positively for the provision and use of shared space, community facilities and other local services (70).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of a policy or policies addressing community facilities and local service. • Positive planning for the provision and integration of community facilities and other local services to enhance the sustainability of communities and residential environments; safeguard against the unnecessary loss of valued facilities and services; ensure that established shops, facilities and services are able to develop and modernize; and ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and good access to key services and infrastructure. 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which seek to promote social infrastructure.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The provision of an appropriate level of social infrastructure to support the town centre's population growth is a key element to the vision of the town centre and strategic objectives.</p> <p>Section 13 – A sustainable centre seeks to secure the appropriate level of social infrastructure including community facilities and Section 10 - High Quality Shopping, Business and Visitor Centre seeks to promote other local services to support the vitality and viability of the town centre.</p>
<p>Identify specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities; and set locally derived standards to provide these (73).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of specific needs and quantitative or qualitative deficits or surpluses of open space, sports and recreational facilities in the local area. (73) • A policy protecting existing open space, sports and recreational buildings and land from development, with specific exceptions. (74) • Protection and enhancement of rights of way and access. (75) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which seek to promote social infrastructure.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 13 – A sustainable centre seek to improve access to nature and Section 12 – Design and Place Making seeks to increase play provision, particularly in areas of deficiency.</p>
<p>Enable local communities, through local and neighbourhood plans, to identify special protection green areas of particular importance to them – ‘Local Green Space’ (76-78).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy enabling the protection of Local Green Spaces. (Local Green Spaces should only be designated when a plan is prepared or reviewed, and be capable of enduring beyond the end of the plan period. The designation should only be used when it accords with the criteria in para 77). Policy for managing development within a local green space should be consistent with policy for Green Belts. (78) 	<p>Walthamstow Town Centre Area Action Plan – Proposed Submission - Consultation Report - Part II - Schedule of Full Representations - Regulation 22(1)(e) (Doc Ref: WSD6).</p> <p>The local community have been consulted throughout the production of the document. No such spaces have been identified.</p>
<p>9. Protecting Green Belt land (paras 79-92)</p>		
<p>Local planning authorities should plan positively to enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt, such as looking for opportunities to provide access; to provide opportunities for outdoor sport and recreation; to retain and enhance landscapes, visual amenity and biodiversity; or to improve damaged and derelict land. (81)</p> <p>Local planning authorities with Green Belts in</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where Green Belt policies are included, these should reflect the need to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Enhance the beneficial use of the Green Belt. (81) ○ Accord with criteria on boundary setting, and the need for clarity on the status of safeguarded land, in particular. (85) ○ Specify that inappropriate development should not be approved except in very special circumstances. (87) ○ Specify the exceptions to inappropriate development (89-90) 	<p>Green belt boundaries have been established through the Core Strategy and no alterations are proposed within the AAP.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>their area should establish Green Belt boundaries in their Local Plans which set the framework for Green Belt and settlement policy. (83)</p> <p>When drawing up or reviewing Green Belt boundaries local planning authorities should take account of the need to promote sustainable patterns of development. (84)</p> <p>Boundaries should be set using 'physical features likely to be permanent' amongst other things (85)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identify where very special circumstances might apply to renewable energy development. (91) 	
<p>10. Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change (paras 93-108)</p>		
<p>Adopt proactive strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change taking full account of flood risk, coastal change and water supply and demand considerations. (94)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning of new development in locations and ways which reduce greenhouse gas emissions. • Support for energy efficiency improvements to existing building. • Local requirements for a building's sustainability which are consistent with the Government's zero carbon buildings policy . (95)) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which mitigate and adapt to climate change.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 13 – A sustainable centre sets out the Council's approach to climate change, and also sets out the opportunities for a decentralised energy network in the wider Upper Lee Valley.</p>
<p>Help increase the use and supply of renewable</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A strategy and policies to promote and maximise energy from 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>and low carbon energy through a strategy, policies maximising renewable and low carbon energy, and identification of key energy sources. (97)</p>	<p>renewable and low carbon sources,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of suitable areas for renewable and low carbon energy sources, and supporting infrastructure, where this would help secure the development of such sources (see also NPPF footnote 17) • Identification of where development can draw its energy supply from decentralised, renewable or low carbon supply systems and for co-locating potential heat customers and suppliers. (97) 	<p>adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which mitigate and adapt to climate change.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 13 – A sustainable centre sets out the Council’s approach to climate change, and also sets out the opportunities for a decentralised energy network in the wider Upper Lee Valley.</p>
<p>Minimise vulnerability to climate change and manage the risk of flooding (99)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Account taken of the impacts of climate change. (99) • Allocate, and where necessary re-locate, development away from flood risk areas through a sequential test, based on a SFRA. (100) • Policies to manage risk, from a range of impacts, through suitable adaptation measures 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which mitigate and adapt to climate change and managing flood risk.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 13 – A sustainable centre sets out the Council’s approach to climate change, and also sets out the opportunities for a decentralised energy network in the wider Upper</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		Lee Valley. The town centre is not in an area of significant flood risk.
Manage risk from coastal change (106)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of where the coast is likely to experience physical changes and identify Coastal Change Management Areas, and clarity on what development will be allowed in such areas. • Provision for development and infrastructure that needs to be re-located from such areas, based on SMPs and Marine Plans, where appropriate. 	N/A
11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment (paras 109-125)		
Protect valued landscapes (109)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A strategy and policy or policies to create, protect, enhance and manage networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure. • Policy which seeks to minimise the loss of higher quality agricultural land and give great weight to protecting the landscape and scenic beauty of National Parks, the Broads and AONBs. 	The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which in relation to protection and enhancement of biodiversity and green infrastructure.
Prevent unacceptable risks from pollution and land instability (109)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy which seeks development which is appropriate for its location having regard to the effects of pollution on health, the natural environment or general amenity. 	The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies in relation minimising pollution.
Planning policies should minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity (117) Planning policies should plan for biodiversity at a landscape-scale across local authority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification and mapping of local ecological networks and geological conservation interests. • Policies to promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological networks and the recovery of 	The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
boundaries (117)	priority species	documents have a range of policies which includes seek to protect existing areas from insensitive development, and the promotion of biodiversity enhancement measures.
12. Conserving and enhancing the historic environment (paras 126-141)		
Include a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk (126)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A strategy for the historic environment based on a clear understanding of the cultural assets in the plan area, including assets most at risk. • A map/register of historic assets • A policy or policies which promote new development that will make a positive contribution to character and distinctiveness. (126) 	<p>The AAP is in conformity with the adopted Core Strategy DPD (KE165) and Development Management Policies DPD (KE166). These documents have a range of policies which includes seek to conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk.</p> <p>Section 12 – Design and Place Making sets out the Council’s approach to protecting and enhancing the centre’s historic environment and set out the Listed building within the centre.</p> <p>The Council seek to fully restore the EMD cinema a Grade II Listed building.</p>
13. Facilitating the sustainable use of minerals (paras 142-149)		
It is important that there is a sufficient supply of material to provide the infrastructure, buildings, energy and goods that the country needs. However, since minerals are a finite	Account taken of the matters raised in relation to paragraph 143 and 145, including matters in relation to land in national / international designations; landbanks; the defining of Minerals Safeguarding Areas; wider matters relating to safeguarding; approaches if non-mineral	N/A

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>natural resource, and can only be worked where they are found, it is important to make best use of them to secure their long-term conservation (142)</p> <p>Minerals planning authorities should plan for a steady and adequate supply of industrial materials (146)</p>	<p>development is necessary within Minerals Safeguarding Areas; the setting of environmental criteria; development of noise limits; reclamation of land; plan for a steady and adequate supply of aggregates. This could include evidence of co-operation with neighbouring and more distant authorities.</p>	
<p>Justified: <i>The plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence.</i></p> <p>To be 'justified' a DPD needs to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving: research / fact finding demonstrating how the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts; and evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area. • The most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. 		
<p><i>Participation</i></p> <p>Has the consultation process allowed for effective engagement of all interested parties?</p>	<p>The consultation statement. This should set out what consultation was undertaken, when, with who and how it has influenced the plan. The statement should show that efforts have been made to consult hard to reach groups, key stakeholders etc. Reference SCI</p>	<p>Walthamstow Town Centre Area Action Plan – Statement of consultation – Regulation 22(2) (c) (Document Reference: WSD4)</p>
<p><i>Research / fact finding</i></p> <p>Is the plan justified by a sound and credible evidence base? What are the sources of evidence? How up to date, and how convincing is it?</p> <p>What assumptions were made in preparing the DPD? Were they reasonable and justified?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The studies, reports and technical papers that provide the evidence for the policies set out in the DPD, the date of preparation and who they were produced by. <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD (at various stages of development) and SA Report which illustrate how evidence supports the strategy, policies and proposals, including key assumptions. <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A very brief statement of how the main findings of consultation support the policies, with reference to: reports to the council on the issues raised during participation, covering both the front-loading and formulation phases; and any other information on community views and preferences. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Appendix 1 of document lists evidence base (including source and date) that supports the AAP by broad topic area. References to evidence base are made throughout the document in justification sections of each policy area. The evidence is considered to be robust, up-to-date and relevant.</p> <p>Section 7 – Policy Context sets out the key policy documents which have shaped the policies in the document.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
	<p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For each policy (or group of policies dealing with the same issue), a very brief statement of the evidence documents relied upon and how they support the policy (where this is not already clear in the reasoned justification in the DPD). 	<p>Reference in the justification section of each policy section to relevant evidence base documents which have been used to justify the policy approach.</p>
<p><i>Alternatives</i></p> <p>Can it be shown that the LPA's chosen approach is the most appropriate given the reasonable alternatives? Have the reasonable alternatives been considered and is there a clear audit trail showing how and why the preferred approach was arrived at? Where a balance had to be struck in taking decisions between competing alternatives, is it clear how and why the decisions were taken?</p> <p>Does the sustainability appraisal show how the different options perform and is it clear that sustainability considerations informed the content of the DPD from the start?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reports and consultation documents produced in the early stages setting out how alternatives were developed and evaluated, and the reasons for selecting the preferred strategy, and reasons for rejecting the alternatives. This should include options covering not just the spatial strategy, but also the quantum of development, strategic policies and development management policies. An audit trail of how the evidence base, consultation and SA have influenced the plan. Sections of the SA Report showing the assessment of options and alternatives. Reports on how decisions on the inclusion of policy were made. Sections of the consultation document demonstrating how options were developed and appraised. Any other documentation showing how alternatives were developed and evaluated, including a report on how sustainability appraisal has influenced the choice of strategy and the content of policies. 	<p>Preferred Options AAP (Doc Ref: WSD16) set out a range of options that were considered for each policy area and site, and explains why certain options were considered more favourable than others. This is supported by the Sustainability Appraisal Report, which also sets out a clear paper trail of how alternative uses for individual sites were considered.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Appendix 4 – Discounted Sites sets out other sites which were considered for development and provides justification as to why they were discounted.</p> <p>Sustainability Appraisals (Doc Ref: WSD18 and WSD8) have been an integral part of the process in developing the AAP. Their recommendations have helped inform the submission version of the document.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
		The Walthamstow Town Centre AAP – Statement of Consultation – Regulation 22 (1) (c) (Doc Ref: WSD4) sets out how the community have been given opportunity to influence options, including through response to Issues Paper and Preferred Options Paper.
<p>Effective: <i>the plan should be deliverable over its period and based on effective joint working on cross-boundary strategic priorities.</i></p> <p>To be ‘effective’ a DPD needs to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be deliverable • Demonstrate sound infrastructure delivery planning • Have no regulatory or national planning barriers to its delivery • Have delivery partners who are signed up to it • Be coherent with the strategies of neighbouring authorities • Demonstrate how the Duty to Co-operate has been fulfilled • Be flexible • Be able to be monitored 		
<p>Deliverable and Coherent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is it clear how the policies will meet the Plan’s vision and objectives? Are there any obvious gaps in the policies, having regard to the objectives of the DPD? • Are the policies internally consistent? • Are there realistic timescales related to the objectives? • Does the DPD explain how its key policy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD which address delivery, the means of delivery and the timescales for key developments and initiatives. • Confirmation from the relevant agencies that they support the objectives and the identified means of delivery, such as evidence that the plans and programmes of other bodies have been taken into account (e.g. Water Resources Management Plans). • Information in the local development scheme, or provided separately, about the scope and content (actual and intended) of each DPD showing how they combine to provide a coherent policy 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 35/36 – Implementation and Monitoring clearly set out the relationship between objectives, policies and aims. Relevant objectives are stated at the start of each area specific section. All objectives are addressed by at least one policy within</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
objectives will be achieved?	<p>structure.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Section in the DPD that shows the linkages between the objectives and the corresponding policies, and consistency between policies (such as through a matrix). 	<p>the AAP. There are no policy gaps.</p> <p>The Council has not received any objections in relation to its delivery/implementation plan</p> <p>Policies within the AAP are internally consistent, and links and cross references are provided throughout the document. The Sustainability Appraisal (Doc Ref; WSD18 and WSD8) process has also been used to test the policies contained in the document, and did not identify and internal inconsistency.</p> <p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 35 - Implementation includes an infrastructure delivery plan and indicative development targets for key sites; which will secure the achievement of the key objectives (and policy proposals) when delivered. Timescales are also clearly set out.</p> <p>Section 35 - Implementation sets out how key policy objectives will be achieved through the delivery of infrastructure, projects and opportunity sites.</p>
<i>Infrastructure Delivery</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A section or sections of the DPD where infrastructure needs are identified and the proposed solutions put forward. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have the infrastructure implications of the policies clearly been identified? • Are the delivery mechanisms and timescales for implementation of the policies clearly identified? • Is it clear who is going to deliver the required infrastructure and does the timing of the provision complement the timescale of the policies? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A schedule setting out responsibilities for delivery, mechanisms and timescales, and related to a CIL schedule where appropriate. • Confirmation from infrastructure providers that they support the solutions proposed and the identified means and timescales for their delivery, or a plan for resolving issues. • Demonstrable plan-wide viability, particularly in relation to the delivery of affordable housing and the role of a CIL schedule. 	<p>(Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 35 - Implementation includes an infrastructure delivery plan and indicative development targets for key sites; which will secure the achievement of the key objectives (and policy proposals) when delivered. The Implementation section clearly set out the action, phase (timescale), Lead and Partners (who is going to deliver), Funding Source (who is going to fund) and Cost of projects/initiatives.</p> <p>The Council has received no objections from infrastructure providers in relation to the implementation plan.</p> <p>The Waltham Forest Local Plan Viability Assessment (Doc Ref: KE156) is a key document in the evidence base.</p>
<p><i>Co-ordinated Planning</i></p> <p>Does the DPD reflect the concept of spatial planning? Does it go beyond traditional land use planning by bringing together and integrating policies for development and the use of land with other policies and programmes from a variety of agencies / organisations that influence the nature of places and how they function?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD that reflect the plans or strategies of the local authority and other bodies • Policies which seek to pull together different policy objectives • Expressions of support/representations from bodies responsible for other strategies affecting the area 	<p>The AAP goes beyond traditional land use planning by integrating related matters such as the AAP sets out physical regeneration projects and crime prevention measures.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p><i>Flexibility</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the DPD flexible enough to respond to a variety of, or unexpected changes in, circumstances? • Does the DPD include the remedial actions that will be taken if the policies need adjustment? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD setting out the assumptions of the plan and identifying the circumstances when policies might need to be reviewed. • Sections of the annual monitoring report and sustainability appraisal report describing how the council will monitor: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. the effectiveness of policies and what evidence is being collected to undertake this b. changes affecting the baseline information and any information on trends on which the DPD is based • Risk analysis of the strategy and policies to demonstrate robustness and how the plan could cope with changing circumstances • Sections within the DPD dealing with possible change areas and how they would be dealt with, including mechanisms for the rate of development to be increased or slowed and how that would impact on other aspects of the strategy and on infrastructure provision • Sections of the DPD identifying the key indicators of success of the strategy, and the remedial actions which will be taken if adjustment is required. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>In formulating policies, a degree of flexibility has been built in; for example development targets for opportunity sites makes clear that it may be possible for applications that deviate from the exact proposed mix of uses to be justified (paragraphs 15.2 and 15.3). Similarly, throughout the document it is made clear that viability will be a key consideration alongside a range of other factors to ensure policy requirements are not too onerous to prevent sustainable development coming forward.</p> <p>The document is based on a plan, monitor, manage approach – and as such the Annual Monitoring Report will be important. If this demonstrates that the document is ineffective, this could trigger an early review.</p> <p>Section 36 – Monitoring sets out the indicators by which the effectiveness and delivery of the plan will be monitored.</p>
<p><i>Co-operation</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the Duty to Co-operate has been undertaken appropriately for the plan being 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A succinct Duty to Co-operate Statement which flows from the strategic issues that have been addressed jointly. A ‘tick box’ approach or a collection of correspondence is not sufficient, and it needs to be shown (where appropriate) if joint plan-making arrangements have been considered, what decisions were 	<p>The Council has prepared a Duty to co-operate statement (Doc Ref: WSD13) which clearly sets out how we have met this requirement.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>examined?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is it clear who is intended to implement each part of the DPD? Where the actions required are outside the direct control of the LPA, is there evidence that there is the necessary commitment from the relevant organisation to the implementation of the policies? 	<p>reached and why.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Duty to Co-operate Statement could highlight: the sharing of ideas, evidence and pooling of resources; the practical policy outcomes of co-operation; how decisions were reached and why; and evidence of having effectively co-operated to plan for issues which need other organisations to deliver on, common objectives for elements of strategy and policy; a memorandum of understanding; aligned or joint core strategies and liaison with other consultees as appropriate. 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>The implementation section of the document includes an infrastructure plan, where actions required outside of the Council's control are clearly identified.</p>
<p><i>Monitoring</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the DPD contain targets, and milestones which relate to the delivery of the policies, (including housing trajectories where the DPD contains housing allocations)? Is it clear how targets are to be measured (by when, how and by whom) and are these linked to the production of the annual monitoring report? Is it clear how the significant effects identified in the sustainability appraisal report will be taken forward in the ongoing monitoring of the implementation of the plan, through the annual monitoring report? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sections of the DPD setting out indicators, targets and milestones Sections of the current annual monitoring report which report on indicators, targets, milestones and trajectories Reference to any other reports or technical documents which contain information on the delivery of policies Sections of the current annual monitoring report and the sustainability appraisal report setting out the framework for monitoring, including monitoring the effects of the DPD against the sustainability appraisal 	<p>WTC AAP Proposed Submission (Document Ref:WSD1)</p> <p>Section 35 - Implementation section of the document also includes indicative development targets, which includes timescales for housing developments within the area; which will contribute towards the borough wide housing trajectory set out in the Core Strategy</p> <p>Section 36 – Monitoring includes a monitoring framework which will be used to monitor the effectiveness of policies (including any significant effects identified in the sustainability appraisal report), in meeting the plans objectives. The Annual Monitoring Report will be the mechanism for reporting back progress on targets using the indicators provided.</p>
<p><i>Consistent with national policy: the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework.</i></p>		

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Soundness Test and Key Requirements	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
<p>The DPD should not contradict or ignore national policy. Where there is a departure, there must be clear and convincing reasoning to justify the approach taken.</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the DPD contain any policies or proposals which are not consistent with national policy and, if so, is there local justification? • Does the DPD contain policies that do not add anything to existing national guidance? If so, why have these been included? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sections of the DPD which explain where and how national policy has been elaborated upon and the reasons. • Studies forming evidence for the DPD or, where appropriate, other information which provides the rationale for departing from national policy. • Evidence provided from the sustainability appraisal (including reference to the sustainability report) and/or from the results of community involvement. • Reports or copies of correspondence as to how representations have been considered and dealt with. 	<p>The Council considers the plan to be consistent with national policy. There are no instances where policies depart from national policy. The DPD has sought to avoid repetition of national guidance.</p> <p>The Council considers that national policy is only referred to (where relevant), to provide context for particular policies.</p>

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Planning policy for traveller sites

Planning Policy for Traveller Sites was published in 23 March 2012 and came into effect on 27 March 2012. Circular 01/06: Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites and Circular 04/07: Planning for Travelling Showpeople have been cancelled. Planning Policy for Traveller Sites should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework, including the implementation policies of that document.

The government's aim in relation to planning for traveller sites is:

'To ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic life of travellers whilst respecting the interests of the settled community'.

Government's aims in respect of traveller sites are:

- That local planning authorities (LPAs) make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning
- That LPAs work collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites
- Plan for sites over a reasonable timescale
- Plan-making should protect green belt land from inappropriate development
- Promote more private traveller site provision whilst recognising that there will always be those travellers who cannot provide their own sites
- Aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective.

In addition local planning authorities should:

- Include fair, realistic and inclusive policies
- Increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply
- Reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities in plan-making and decision-taking
- Enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure
- Have due regard to protection of local amenity and local environment

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Policy Expectations	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
Policy A: Using evidence to plan positively and manage development (para 6)		
Early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Early and effective engagement undertaken, including discussing travellers' accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups. 	N/A
Co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstration of a clear understanding of the needs of the traveller community over the lifespan of your development plan. • Collaborative working with neighbouring local planning authorities. • A robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of your local plan and make planning decisions. 	N/A
Policy B: Planning for traveller sites (paras 7-11)		
<p>Set pitch targets for gypsies and travellers and plot targets for travelling showpeople which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of travellers in your area, working collaboratively with neighbouring LPAs.</p> <p>Set criteria to guide land supply allocations where there is identified need.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification, and annual update, of a supply of specific, deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years worth of sites against locally set target. Identification of a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth for years 6-10, and, where possible, for years 11-15. • An assessment of the need for traveller sites, and where an unmet need has been demonstrated a supply of specific, deliverable sites been identified. 	N/A

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Policy Expectations	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
Ensure that traveller sites are sustainable economically, socially and environmentally.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy which takes into account criteria a-h of para 11 	
Policy C: Sites in rural areas and the countryside (para 12)		
When assessing the suitability of sites in rural or semi-rural settings LPAs should ensure that the scale of such sites do not dominate the nearest settled community.		N/A
Policy D: Rural exception sites (para 13)		
If there is a lack of affordable land to meet local traveller needs, LPAs in rural areas, where viable and practical, should consider allocating and releasing sites solely for affordable travellers sites.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If a rural exception site policy is used, and if so clarity that such sites shall be used for affordable traveller sites in perpetuity. 	N/A
Policy E: Traveller sites in Green Belt (paras 14-15)		
<p>Traveller sites (both permanent and temporary) in the Green Belt are inappropriate development.</p> <p>Exceptional limited alteration to the defined Green Belt boundary (which might be to accommodate a site inset within the Green Belt) to meet a specific, identified need for a traveller site ... should be done only through</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Green Belt boundary revisions made in response to a specific identified need for a traveller site, undertaken through the plan making process. 	N/A

Soundness Self-Assessment Checklist (January 2013)

Policy Expectations	Possible Evidence	Evidence Provided
the plan-making process.		
Policy F: Mixed planning use traveller sites (paras 16-18)		
Local planning authorities should consider, wherever possible, including traveller sites suitable for mixed residential and business uses, having regard to the safety and amenity of the occupants and neighbouring residents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consideration of the need for sites for mixed residential and business use (having regard to safety and amenity of the occupants and neighbouring residents), or separate sites in close proximity to one another. • N.B. Mixed use should not be permitted on rural exception sites 	N/A
Policy G: Major development projects (para 19)		
Local planning authorities should work with the planning applicant and the affected traveller community to identify a site or sites suitable for relocation of the community if a major development proposal requires the permanent or temporary relocation of a traveller site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where a major development proposal requires the permanent or temporary relocation of a traveller site, the identification of a site or sites suitable for re-location of the community. 	N/A

End