

From: **David Matson** <[REDACTED]>

Date: Wed, 23 Mar 2022 at 16:12

Subject: RE: Matter 6

To: Andrea Copsey <copseyandrea@gmail.com>

Hello again, Andrea.

I would just like to record that I did attempt to join the meeting this morning, using the same method that worked for me on my previous visit. By the time I had understood the futility of my attempts to join the meeting, it had already moved on to, and beyond, Masterplans, which was my central concern. So, as I said to you, it felt as if the moment had passed.

May I say that I fully support the concept of master planning within the Local Plan. With effective local public consultation, master planning can create a framework for investment, and incorporate the views of local people who can better engage with local issues, at this level.

The three points that I wanted to raise were as follow:

1. Why is master planning such a late element within the process of drafting the Local Plan? Is there any scope for using them as a critical tool at this late moment in the process?
2. There are master plans specified within the Plan. How were they selected, as opposed to other locations?
3. There is a case for early master planning for Chingford Station Road, including Chingford Green Conservation Area. This would establish the area's special character, and help to combat potential over-development.
4. There is a case for early master planning on the junction of Kings Head Hill and Sewardstone Road, where the wide range of current options need early consideration to combat the fears of local residents.
5. What form of public consultation is intended as part of master planning?

I wondered if these comments could be forwarded to the Plan Inspectors?

With my best regards.

David