

# Waltham Forest Local Development Framework

our  
place  
in  
london



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Part I - Summary of Representations  
on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission and  
Council's Response

May 2011

  
Waltham Forest

## INTERPRETING AND TRANSLATION ASSISTANCE

This document or its summary can be made available in other languages, large print, Braille, audio-tape format or a translation service provided on request. Should you require access to these services please contact us at the address stated below.

This document can also be made available on Audio Tape  Braille  Large Print

<p>Dokumenti apo përmbledhja e tij mund të sigurohen në gjuhë tjera, shtyp të madh, Braille, audiokasetë apo i përkthyer sipas kërkesës. Nëse ju keni nevojë për këto shërbime, ju lusim na kontaktoni në adresën e dhënë më poshtë.</p>	<p>આ અહેવાલ કે એનું સંક્ષેપ બીજી ભાષાઓમાં, મોટા અક્ષરોમાં, બ્રેઈલમાં, ઓડિયો ટેપ પર અથવા ભાષાંતર સેવા દ્વારા ઉપલબ્ધ થઈ શકે છે. જો તમને આ સેવાની જરૂર લાગે, તો કૃપા કરીને અમને નીચેના સરનામે સંપર્ક કરો.</p>
<p>يمكن توفير هذه الوثيقة أو ملخصها بلغات أخرى أو بالطبعة الكبيرة أو بلغة بريل أو على الشريط. ويمكن توفير خدمة ترجمة عند الطلب. وإذا احتجت من هذه الخدمات الرجاء الاتصال معنا على العنوان المذكور أدناه.</p>	<p>यह पर्चा या इसका संक्षेप अन्य भाषाओं में, बड़े अक्षरों में या सुनने वाली टेप पर माँग कर लिया जा सकता है, और अन्य भाषाओं में अनुवाद की सुविधा भी मिल सकती है। यदि आपको इन सुविधाओं की ज़रूरत है तो कृपया निम्नलिखित पते पर हमारे साथ संपर्क करें।</p>
<p>অনুরোধক্রমে এই ডকুমেন্ট অথবা এর সারসর্ম অন্যান্য ভাষায়, বড় ছাপার অক্ষরে, ব্রেইল বা অক্ষরিতিতে, অডিও টেইপ বা বাজিয়ে শোনার কেসেটে অথবা অনুবাদ করে দেয়ার ব্যবস্থা করা যাবে। আপনার যদি এসব সেবাসমূহের প্রয়োজন হয়, তাহলে অনুগ্রহ করে আমাদের সাথে নীচের ঠিকানায় যোগাযোগ করুন।</p>	<p>ਇਹ ਪਰਚਾ ਜਾਂ ਇਹਦਾ ਸੁਲਾਸਾ ਹੋਰ ਭਾਸ਼ਾਵਾਂ ਵਿਚ, ਵੱਡੇ ਅੱਖਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ, ਜਾਂ ਸੁਣਨ ਵਾਲੀ ਟੇਪ 'ਤੇ ਮੰਗ ਕੇ ਲਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ, ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਭਾਸ਼ਾਵਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਤਰਜਮਾ ਕਰਨ ਦੀ ਸਹੂਲਤ ਵੀ ਮਿਲ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ। ਜੇ ਇਹਨਾਂ ਸਹੂਲਤਾਂ ਦੀ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਲੋੜ ਹੈ, ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਪਤੇ 'ਤੇ ਸਾਡੇ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਪਰਕ ਕਰੋ।</p>
<p>我們可以按照您的要求向您提供此文件或其摘要的母語譯本、大字印刷版本、盲文版本、錄音帶或者提供其他翻譯服務。如果您需要以上服務，請通過以下的地址與我們取得聯繫。</p>	<p>İstekte bulunursanız, bu broşürü ya da broşürün özetinin Türkçesini size gönderebiliriz. Ayrıca broşürün iri harfli baskısı, görme engelliler için parmak ucuyula okunabilen Braille alfabetiyle yazılmış kabartma şekli ve ses kaseti de olup istek üzerine broşürün özetini sözlü olarak da size Türkçe okuyabiliriz. Bu hizmetlerden yararlanmak için aşağıda yazılı adresten bize ulaşabilirsiniz.</p>
<p>Ce document ainsi que son résumé sont disponibles dans d'autres langues, en gros caractères, en braille et sur support audio. Une version traduite peut également être obtenue sur demande. Pour obtenir l'un de ces services, veuillez nous contacter à l'adresse ci-dessous.</p>	<p>یہ دستاویز یا اس کا خلاصہ دیگر زبانوں، بڑے حروف، یا آڈیو ٹیپ کی شکل میں دستیاب ہو سکتا ہے، یا درخواست کرنے پر ترجمے کی سروس دستیاب ہو سکتی ہے۔ اگر آپ ان سروسز تک رسائی حاصل کرنا چاہتے ہیں تو براہ مہربانی ہم سے نیچے دیے گئے پتے پر رابطہ کیجئے۔</p>
<p>Name _____ Address _____ _____ _____ Telephone No _____</p>	<p><b>CONTACT:</b> Spatial Planning Unit Environment and Regeneration London Borough of Waltham Forest Fir Tree House, Waltham Forest Town Hall Forest Road, Walthamstow, London, E17 4JF Tel: 020 8496 3000 Email: <a href="mailto:planning.policy@walthamforest.gov.uk">planning.policy@walthamforest.gov.uk</a> Website: <a href="http://www.walthamforest.gov.uk">www.walthamforest.gov.uk</a></p>

<b>Part</b>	<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>I</b>	<b>Summary of Representations and Council's Response</b>	
	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>Table for Summary of Representations and Council's Response</b>	<b>2 - 75</b>
<b>Appendix 1</b>	<b>Table of Respondents</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Schedule of Full Representations</b>	<b>See the</b>
<b>III</b>	<b>Copies of all representations on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission under Regulation 30(1)(f)</b>	<b>documents for</b>
		<b>Parts II</b>
		<b>&amp; III</b>



## Introduction

- 1.1** This report for the representations on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission is divided into three separate parts:

Part I - Summary of Representations and Council's Response  
**(this document)**

Part II - Schedule of Full Representations

Part III - Copies of all representations on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission under Regulation 30(1)(f)

- 1.2** The table for Part I starting from page 2 is sorted in 'Chapter' order. Appendix 1 is a list of respondents to the consultation on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission.

## Other Consultation Reports

- 1.3** The following reports are also relevant to the consultations on the Core Strategy:

**Statement of Consultation under Regulation 30(1)(d)** - setting out how the London Borough of Waltham Forest has complied with the consultation requirements regarding the following:

- the bodies and persons invited to make representations
- how those bodies and persons were consulted
- a summary of the main issues raised by the representations
- how the representations made were taken into account.

**Statement of Consultation under Regulation 30(1)(e)** – a summary of the main issues raised in the representations on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission.

**Schedule of Post Publication Main Changes** - setting out proposed changes in response to the representations received during the consultation on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission.

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

### Summary of Representations and Council's Response on the Core Strategy Proposed Submission (249 Representations from 48 consultees)

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps122	Chapter 01 - Introduction - Paragraph 1.1	Not specified	Yes	N/A	The Core Strategy extends to 238 pages which is unnecessarily long and although it does not undermine the soundness of the CS, it obscures its key themes and entails repetition and in many cases the reasoned justification contains more detail than is necessary or expands excessively on the policy it supports.	Not accepted	No change. The document is well set out in sections to reflect the key themes of the Sustainable Community Strategy. The Council considers that the right balance has been made with regard to the need to provide sufficient explanation.	NO
169546	The Coal Authority (Miss Rachael A Bust)	csps257	Chapter 01 - Introduction - Paragraph 1.1	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	No specific comments to make on this document.	Noted.	No changes.	Not specified
183809	Highways Agency (Mr. Patrick Blake)	csps258	Chapter 01 - Introduction - Paragraph 1.1	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	No comments to make with regards to the Core Strategy Proposed Submission document.	Noted.	No Changes.	Not specified
518066	Network Rail (Alasdair Robinson)	csps259	Chapter 01 - Introduction - Paragraph 1.1	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	No comments to make.	Noted.	No changes	Not specified
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps240	Chapter 01 - Introduction - Paragraph 1.3	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The policies throughout the documents are, on the whole, consistent with the London Plan. There are, however, some outstanding issues of general conformity with the London Plan, which unless resolved, may cause significant harm to the implementation of the London Plan. The GLA welcomes further discussions with Waltham Forest Council planners so that most of the representations can be resolved and the document is	Noted.	The Council welcomes the opportunity to discuss the matters raised.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							'sound' before the examination in public.			
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of Planning Policy)	csps226	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.2	Yes	No	(2) Effective	There is no clear statement setting out Waltham Forest's commitment to working with neighbouring boroughs on strategic projects.	Accepted	Insert new bullet point to Policy CS1(F) to read as follows: "Supporting cross borough working in strategic planning for housing, employment and infrastructure provision particularly where proposals are close to the borough boundary or have wider sub-regional implications ". Insert additional text after paragraph 4.44 as follows: "Cross Borough Working The Council is committed to cross boundary working with neighbouring authorities to deliver the growth aspirations of this strategy. In planning strategically for housing, employment and infrastructure provision in the borough, the Council recognises the need to work closely with neighbouring local authorities to ensure a coordinated approach. This is particularly important to achieve synergy with neighbouring regeneration projects including Central Leaside, Stratford City, Olympic Legacy, Thames Gateway and Lea Valley Regional Park proposals"	Not specified
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps128	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.5	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	For completeness it might be useful to make a concise reference to the borough's heritage assets which are the legacy of this historic development, their contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the borough as part of setting the scene for Chapter 15 Policy	Accepted	Proposed amendment to paragraph 2.38 deals with this point.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							CS12.			
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP23)	csps209	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.9	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the assertion that Hoe Street is a key route and it is considered that the application proposals, which incorporate the provision of a ground floor cafe and retail unit, would further enhance pedestrian activity along this route and support Hoe Street as a key route.	Support noted.	No change	NO
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps109	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.10 - 2.11	Yes	Yes	N/A	The County Council recommends that this section should include reference to key transport connections within Essex, for instance strategic transportations connections at Stansted, and also too neighbouring Essex local authorities in particular Epping Forest and Brentwood.	Not Accepted	No Change. Figure 19 provides a detailed diagram of the strategic transport connections.	NO
376691	City & Provincial Properties PLC (Agent: Charles Moran, CMA Planning Ltd)	csps151	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.11	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the Council's overarching 'spatial vision' for Waltham Forest and, in particular, the re-opening of Lea Bridge Road Station / Hall Farm Curve and the potential benefits that regeneration schemes can bring to the Borough (paragraphs 2.11 and 3.6).	Support noted	No Change	NO
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps155	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.23	Yes	No	(3) Consistent with national policy	There need to be a clear statement that new residential development should be located within existing built areas and not infringe upon M.O.L.	Not Accepted	No Change. Policy CS1(E)(i) makes clear that growth will be accommodated on previously developed land and that the integrity of the Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land would be preserved.	YES
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose)	csps123	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham	Not specified	Yes	N/A	Para.2.27 states that Culture does not presently have a high profile in	Accepted	Delete opening sentence of paragraph 2.27. Amend second sentence to read	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )		Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.27				Waltham Forest. This statement is incorrect - the Borough is full of 'culture' - what it doesn't have is a range of facilities for a variety of cultural activities, especially a cinema and a theatre. Libraries and two museums are good but inadequate for a cultural offer in a London Borough.		as follows: "The borough has a significant set of cultural assets ...."	
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps129	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.38	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	We would encourage you to highlight the value of all heritage assets whether they are designated such as listed buildings, conservation areas, archaeological sites and registered parks and gardens, but also other types of heritage assets. These can include locally listed buildings, gardens and spaces identified by the Local Historic Parks and Gardens Trust and other elements of Waltham Forest's environment that are of historic interest.	Accepted	Amend opening sentence of paragraph 2.38 to read as "In Waltham Forest, we value of all our heritage assets whether they are designated such as listed buildings, conservation areas, archaeological sites and registered parks and gardens and also other types of heritage assets. These include locally listed buildings, gardens and spaces identified by the Local Historic Parks and Gardens Trust and other elements of Waltham Forest's environment that are of historic interest".	Not specified
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps156	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.38 & 2.39	Yes	No	(2) Effective	There seems to be no robust commitment (not strategy) to preserving the historic environment, and no mention of historical landscapes such as the Lea Valley Marshlands. There needs to be a stronger presumption on favour of retaining and enhancing conservation areas and sites of industrial archaeological importance.	Not Accepted	No Change. Policy CS12 specifically deals with Heritage Assets covering all designated and non designated assets. Appropriate cross referencing has been made to other policies including CS5 on Climate Change, CS6 on Green Infrastructure, and CS11 on Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions.	YES
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders,	csps130	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? -	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Whilst we recognise the challenges identified here, we suggest that the final sentence of	Accepted	Amend last sentence of paragraph 2.40 to read as follows: "For example, listed buildings could be seen as too	Not specified



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )		Paragraph 2.40				paragraph 2.40 is misleading and suggests that all listed buildings are expensive to repair and maintain.		complicated and difficult to work with as they may be perceived to be associated with higher costs for restoration and maintenance."	
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps110	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.47 - 2.48	Yes	Yes	N/A	It is considered that there are opportunities to enhance the scope and content of the Core Strategy to ensure that transport connectivity to Essex is recognised, facilitated and promoted. Given that Essex is an adjacent County Authority to Waltham Forest transport connectivity is considered important for the delivery of a sustainable community and economic growth and development. Need for specific reference to the need to promote and where appropriate enhance transportation linkages and the relationship with Essex.	Accepted	Add additional sentence to paragraph 2.48 to read as follows: This plan also recognises the need to promote and where appropriate enhance transportation linkages and relationship with neighbouring regions".	NO
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps131	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.54	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	It would be useful to explicitly reference the positive aspects of the historic environment as a source to inform new and improved design quality.	Not Accepted	No Change. The positive aspects of the historic environment is referenced at key sections of the document - mainly under the section on key challenges and specifically dealt with under Policy CS12.	Not specified
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps126	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.55	Not specified	Yes	N/A	The re-building of the cultural framework should be given some prominence in the Core Strategy to reflect the aspirations of the Cultural Strategy regarding the Borough's provision for the arts	Not Accepted	No Change. The Core Strategy makes appropriate reference to the Council's Cultural Strategy. Policy CS11 deals with visitor attractions which includes the provision of leisure and cultural developments in Walthamstow town	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							and cultural venues that would make a positive contribution to a vibrant evening economy in Walthamstow.		centre and other locations. It is proposed to include a reference to the cultural strategy under paragraph 14.2.	
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps167	Chapter 02 - What makes Waltham Forest Unique? - Paragraph 2.57	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The inclusion of paragraph 2.57 in respect of Delivering Essential Infrastructure is supported. It is noted however that this paragraph refers only to social infrastructure with no reference to physical or utilities infrastructure.	Accepted	a) Insert as opening sentence to paragraph 2.57 as follows: "Infrastructure can be defined as the facilities and services that allow communities to function and develop." b) Insert new paragraphs after 2.57 as follows: "Green Infrastructure is the network of multifunctional green spaces and their connections that enable the environment to support and maintain ecological processes, whilst sustaining land, air and water resources and is important within, and beyond urban spaces". "Physical Infrastructure includes utilities (gas, electricity, telecommunications, water supply, sewerage network, drainage), transport services (roads, buses, trains, etc); and waste collection and disposal including recycling facilities. These are the services and facilities necessary to ensure that homes and workplaces are connected to the wider environment and have sufficient facilities to ensure that essential day to day services can be met. To allow communities to function and develop the delivery of such infrastructure will also be required".	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex)	csps5	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives -	Yes	Yes	N/A	The vision is welcomed. First sentence of 3.5 'Quality Homes' could be reworded to read 'Our	Accepted	Amend 1st sentence of paragraph 3.5 to read as: 'Our new homes are of high quality and very sustainable'.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)		Paragraph 3.05				new homes are of high quality and very sustainable'. An additional reference to improved sustainability of existing properties could also be considered, e.g. 'the energy water use efficiency of many existing homes have been improved too', as recognised in Policy CS5 (C).			
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps111	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	There is no reference to the need to maintain, and where appropriate enhance the sustainable transportation linkages with Essex.	Accepted	Delete "East" in paragraph 3.6 and insert the words "and beyond" after London. Revised sentence to read as follows: "The introduction of the Chingford-Stratford Line and the re-opening of the Lea Bridger railway station mean that more of our residents, especially those in the north of the borough can travel sustainably to Stratford City, Canary Wharf and other parts of London and beyond for their jobs and leisure time".	NO
376691	City & Provincial Properties PLC (Agent: Charles Moran, CMA Planning Ltd)	csps150	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support the Council's overarching 'spatial vision' for Waltham Forest and, in particular, the re-opening of Lea Bridge Road Station / Hall Farm Curve	Support Noted	No change	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps6	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	The final proposed wording is not considered to be an issue of soundness for the Core Strategy and so no change is sought at this final consultation stage.	Support Noted	No change	Not specified
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent)	csps73	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives -	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Vision statement should be made to fit better with Strategic Objective 6. Suggested wording to vision	Not Accepted	Whilst the suggested change is accepted in principle, the Council considers that this is rather too detailed	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	of Epping Forest )		Paragraph 3.10				statement provided.		to be included in a vision statement. Appropriate reference will be made elsewhere in the document (See Chapter 9).	
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps74	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.10	Yes	No	(2) Effective	We consider that the Vision at 3.10 should relate to Strategic Objective S06. It also needs to make a clear statement about partnership working in order to deliver this Vision effectively.	Not accepted	The Council notes the point made, however the suggested change is considered too detailed to be included within a vision statement. Accordingly it is proposed to include the suggested wording under paragraph 9.3.	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps7	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.11	Yes	Yes	N/A	Reference in 3,11 'Healthy Lifestyle' to the role of the 2012 Games and its Legacy both in terms of facilities and encouragement to healthier life styles, are welcomed.	Support Noted	No change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP9)	csps194	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.12	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Borough's goal in enhancing access to facilities for the community.	Support noted	No change	NO
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps114	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Yes	Yes	N/A	Need to enhance the scope and content of the Core Strategy to ensure that transport connectivity to Essex is recognised, facilitated and promoted.	Noted Accepted	Appropriate references have been made (for example under Policy CS8) that the plan recognises the need to promote and where appropriate enhance transportation linkages and relationships with the wider sub-region including Essex.	NO
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region)	csps132	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	We welcome in general strategic objective SO12. However it should be expanded to include the commitment, to increase accessibility and understanding of the historic environment to all and to reduce the number of heritage	Not Accepted.	No change. Policy CS12 includes the commitment to increase accessibility and understanding of the historic environment to all.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	)						assets at risk.			
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP19)	csps205	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Borough's goal in enhancing access to facilities for the community.	Support Noted	No change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP20)	csps206	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Council's aim of improving the attractiveness of the Borough through regeneration initiatives.	Support Noted	No change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP21)	csps207	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Borough's goal in reducing inequalities and enhancing access to facilities that offer economic opportunities for residents.	Support Noted	No change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP22)	csps208	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the significance placed on the Borough's heritage assets in terms of their potential to be the focus for regeneration initiatives providing a sense of community.	Support Noted	No change	NO
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps41	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for Strategic Objective 1 and Strategic Objective 3. Suggest that it would be appropriate to enhance and improve Waltham Park Way as a Borough Employment Area by including the Kingfisher Sports Ground.	Not Accepted	Kingfisher Sports Ground is designated as green belt ( Policy CS6)	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps51	Chapter 03 - Vision and Strategic Objectives - Paragraph 3.13	Yes	Yes	N/A	L&Q support Strategic Objectives SO1 and SO2 which recognise the need for the ongoing delivery of housing and the need to ensure that key opportunities to deliver this are maximised.	Support Noted	No change	NO



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
407402	NHS London Healthy Urban Development Unit (Malcolm Souch)	csps115	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy CS1 'Location and Management of Growth' is supported. However, to ensure consistency Strategic Objective 1 could refer to 'social' regeneration to secure social benefits from growth to align it with Policy CS1. Criterion E of Policy CS1 could also refer to addressing environmental and health impacts and encouraging good design.	Support Noted	No change	NO
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps133	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Policy CS1 should be expanded further so that it makes specific reference to the need to consider the significance of the historic environment and wider local character as part of the process of locating and managing growth. This approach would reflect PPS1(,e.g. paragraph 19 and 36), and PPS5 (e.g. policy HE7.4).	Accepted	Add additional criteria to CS1 (E) to read as follows: "Conserving and responding to the local and historic context, and creating or reinforcing local distinctiveness." Extend the final sentence of paragraph 4.5 to read: " ... in areas with significant redevelopment opportunities, and where there is capacity for change without harming the existing historic and local character."	Not specified
376691	City & Provincial Properties PLC (Agent: Charles Moran, CMA Planning Ltd)	csps153	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	We support the overarching approach taken by the Council regarding sustainable economic growth, the need to balance employment land requirements through the appropriate protection and release of currently designated employment land. We also support focusing regeneration activities in the key growth areas including the Northern Olympic Fringe area, to accommodate growth primarily in housing and jobs.	Support Noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps168	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	The requirement that growth will be distributed and managed by ensuring the timely delivery of essential infrastructure including provision of supporting infrastructure ... and other physical infrastructure such as utilities, is supported.	Support Noted	No change	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps175	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	No	No	(2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Policy CS1 should be amended to support the improvements to the Deephams Sewage Treatment Works.	Not Accepted	Whilst the Council fully supports the proposed improvements to the Deephams Sewage Treatment Works, it is not considered appropriate to include a statement of support as Core Strategy Policy. Appropriate reference will be made as explanatory text, particularly in the Development Management Policies Document.	NO
183683	North East London NHS Foundation Trust (Agent: Mark Underwood, Drivers Jonas Deloitte)	csps176	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the principle of directing new development towards previously developed land, use of key sites for greater intensification of activities, and the importance of delivering essential infrastructure to support growth. However policies should be sufficiently flexible to allow for the consolidation of health care facilities across the borough where they can continue to provide a high quality of service.	Accepted	No change. A number of policies in the Core Strategy are criteria based, thus allowing for variations for individual circumstances within an overarching policy approach.	NO
406764	Aviva Life and Pensions UK Ltd (Agent: Sarah Dawson, Associate, Barton Willmore)	csps180	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	We welcome the reference to supporting regeneration growth within the Northern Olympic Fringe. However, we consider the policy should be made clearer as to the appropriate locations for different types of land use by	Not Accepted	The Council does not consider it necessary to repeat the requirements of national planning policy in this policy statement.	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							making specific reference to PPS4.			
509339	The Mall Limited Partnership (Agent: Miss Marie Jasper, Associate, Barton Willmore)	csps182	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	No	(3) Consistent with national policy	Policy CS1A states that in the key growth areas the Council will seek to accommodate growth primarily in housing and jobs, for local people. We consider there is an inconsistency between this and Policy CS1B in relation to Walthamstow Town Centre, because Policy CS1B seeks to direct additional growth, in main town centre uses, to the designated town centres.	Not Accepted	The Council does not consider it necessary to repeat the requirements of national planning policy in this policy statement.	YES
150911	National Grid (Agent: Damien Holdstock, Consultant Town Planner, Entec UK Ltd)	csps188	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	We advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. The following locations identified in the Core Strategy as accommodating housing and employment growth are crossed by National Grid's high voltage overhead electricity transmission lines: - The Black Horse Lane Regeneration Area - North Olympic Fringe Regeneration Area - Lea Bridge Gateway Strategic Industrial Location	Accepted	No change. This is site specific issues which will be considered at a detailed level through the emerging work on the preparation of Area Action Plans.	NO
183298	Workspace Group (Agent: Andrew Ransome, Director, Ransome and	csps189	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Not specified	No	(2) Effective	CS1(E)(iii) is not effective as it lacks flexibility and could inadvertently prevent modern economic floorspace from being delivered that could increase local employment opportunities and	Not Accepted	No change. The need to safeguard land from other uses is supported at both national and regional levels. The Core Strategy identifies locations to focus different types of employment development and therefore provides	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Company Ltd)						increase economic output and wealth. By safeguarding land for employment use, the policy could potentially sterilise employment land and prevent regeneration benefits from being delivered.		flexibility and choice.	
151476	Mr Simon Munk	csps2	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	No	(2) Effective	There is little in this document regarding appropriate infrastructure. No mention is made of any appropriate infrastructure developments to support growth in the identified areas.	Not Accepted	No change. The Core Strategy provides an overarching policy approach. Appendix 1 sets out the anticipated timing and phasing of infrastructure provision. Detailed plans for the key growth areas are being prepared as Area Action Plans. These will set out the detailed infrastructure requirements and the delivery mechanisms necessary to implement the growth proposals.	NO
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)	csps219	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	LB Redbridge supports proposals for sustainable regeneration set out under Policy CS1 (Location and Management of Growth) in particular the Northern Olympic Fringe area.	Support Noted	No change	NO
183277	Mr Dennis Tilley	csps237	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Most of these proposals will not get off the ground due the council's inability to get things moving and overcoming all the problems that relate to large scale improvements.	Not Accepted	No change. The Council considers that the proposals are deliverable.	Not specified
505444	Mr George Trevor Goodchild	csps28	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	The underused land at Whipps Cross Hospital is not suitable for residential building development.	Not Accepted	No change. Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development urges local planning authorities to actively seek to bring vacant and underused previously developed land and buildings back into beneficial use. Proposals for this site will be tested	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									through the Site Allocations Document.	
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps35	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	No	No	(1) Justified; (3) Consistent with national policy	Criterion E (i) states that the 'integrity' of the Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land should be preserved. Integrity does not define what the Council is trying to achieve, and it is not consistent with the aims of PPG2. We recommend that Kingfisher Sports Ground be removed from the Green Belt.	Not Accepted	No Change. The site contributes to the fundamental aim of the green belt as set out in PPG2. There is no substantial case that Business Uses cannot be accommodated on the Employment and Mixed Use areas identified in the Plan.	NO
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps44	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for Policy CS1.	Support Noted	No change	YES
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps52	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Whilst the principle of a Site Allocations DPD is encouraged, it is not considered appropriate to defer determination of a planning application that meets the policy objectives in advance of the publication of the DPD. The last sentence of (C) should read, "Unless already brought forward through an appropriate masterplan approach, proposals for sites will be detailed in the emerging Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document."	Not Accepted	No change. A masterplan is not a statutory planning document. Where proposals come forward in advance of the of the emerging Site Specific Allocations Document, the Council's currently adopted Unitary Development Plan will apply together with other material considerations.	YES
507042	Save Our Stow (Mr David Cunningham,	csps65	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of	Yes	No	(1) Justified	The inclusion of Walthamstow Dogs Stadium in this section (at Sub-section C) is premature. This	Not Accepted	No Change. The Walthamstow Dogs Stadium has been a vacant site since August 2008. PPS1 (paragraph 27	YES



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Member )		Growth				is not a development site it is a leisure site which has been forced into disuse through a developer's speculative purchase. Remove the reference to the Greyhound Stadium.		(viii)) urges local planning authorities to seek actively to bring vacant and underused previously developed land and buildings back into beneficial use. To ensure this the Site Allocations document will establish the options for the redevelopment of this site.	
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps72	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	The concept of focusing regeneration in key growth areas is supported but the delineation of the boundary of the Northern Olympic Fringe should be extended to include further areas with similar characteristics with reference to social infrastructure and areas with similar reported incidences of anti-social behaviour.	Not Accepted	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP.	YES
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps8	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1- Location and Management of Growth	Yes	Yes	N/A	The reference to a 'tariff charging schedule' should be revised to take account of the Community Infrastructure Regulations which prevent the use of local tariffs beyond 6th April 2014.	Accepted	Amend paragraph 4.4 final part 'G' first bullet point to read as follows: "cross borough working to promote and maximise funding opportunities available to the Council and its partners through planning obligations, a tariff charging schedule in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Regulations, bidding and funding regimes"	NO
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps42	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.3	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for paragraph 4.3.	Support Noted	No Change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
504551	Environment Agency (Miss Nancy Young, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps30	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	Suggest that a minor amendment is included to outline that the Sequential Test has been undertaken for the Key Growth Areas in line with PPS25.	Accepted	After 2nd sentence of paragraph 4.6 insert new sentence to read follows: "In accordance with PPS25, a sequential test on these areas has been undertaken. "	NO
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps252	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.6	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	The delineation of the boundary of the Northern Olympic Fringe should be extended to include further areas with similar characteristics with reference to social infrastructure and areas with similar reported incidences of anti-social behaviour. To minimise blight, the Council should make every effort to bring surplus land that is currently safeguarded for infrastructural improvements back into productive use by seeking clarification with the authority-in-charge on the amount of land that is required or can be released. A prime example of this is land that is currently safeguarded for Crossrail 2 Extension.	Not Accepted	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP. The Council will only consider releasing land if directed to by the GLA/TfL, as it is not in a position yet to know how much land is required. If directed to release land, the Council would update the proposals map. Therefore, there is no need for this sentence to be included in the policy.	YES
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps75	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.7	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	The delineation of the boundary of the Northern Olympic Fringe should be extended to include further areas with similar characteristics with reference to social infrastructure and areas with similar reported incidences of anti-social behaviour. To minimise blight, the Council should make every effort to bring surplus land that is currently safeguarded for infrastructural improvements back into productive use by seeking	No change	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP. The Council will only consider releasing land if directed to by the GLA/TfL, as it is not in a position yet to know how much land is required. If directed to release land, the Council would update the proposals map. Therefore, there is no need for this sentence to be included in the policy.	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							clarification with the authority-in-charge on the amount of land that is required or can be released. A prime example of this is land that is currently safeguarded for Crossrail 2 Extension.			
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps253	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.14	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The delineation of the southern part of the North Olympic Fringe Action Area appears to be arbitrary. The Cathall Road Substation Site can provide approximately 90 new residential units.	Not Accepted	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP.	YES
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps157	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.14 - 4.18	No	No	(2) Effective	Too much detail is left to the emerging AAP for Lea Bridge Road and the Northern Olympic Fringe. This reads more like an informative than an over-arching core strategic policy.	Not Accepted	No change. The statement in paragraphs 4.14 - 4.18 is rightly intended as informative text. It sets out the Council's emerging vision for this area. As mentioned the Council is preparing detailed proposals for the Northern Olympic Fringe as an Area Action Plan.	YES
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps158	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.14 - 4.18	Yes	No	(2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	The Lee Valley Regional park Authority is at present consulting on a 'park development framework'. The consultation on the N.O.F. and Lea Bridge Road AAP is premature, and that further consultation should be undertaken at a later time.	Not Accepted	No Change. The Northern Olympic Fringe AAP is currently at Preferred Options Stage. There will be opportunities for further consultation before the Plan is finalised. The Council is working with the Lee Valley Regional Park Authority to ensure that proposals are developed and implemented in co-ordinated way.	YES
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps241	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.17	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Some of the sites identified in the Core strategy in paragraph 4.17 under the Northern Olympic Fringe section are potentially sites that fall in the Greenbelt and MOL category. It should not be	Accepted	Add the following additional text after 3rd sentence of paragraph 4.17: "In considering proposals at key sites, the general presumption against inappropriate developments in the green belt/metropolitan open land	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							presumed that housing and other development will be acceptable on these sites.		areas will apply"	
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps254	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.17	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	The delineation of the southern boundary of the North Olympic Fringe Action Area appears to be arbitrary.	Not Accepted	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP. Paragraph 4.17 makes reference to some key sites. A full list of sites will be provided in the emerging Sites Allocations Document.	YES
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps255	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.17	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The Northern Olympic Fringe, should be extended eastwards as this will increase the level of housing provision in the medium to long term.	Not Accepted	No change. The Key diagram shows the indicative area of the Northern Olympic Fringe (NOF). It is included for illustrative purposes. The boundary of the NOF Area is defined in the NOF AAP	Not specified
509339	The Mall Limited Partnership (Agent: Miss Marie Jasper, Associate, Barton Willmore)	csps183	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.19 - 4.23	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Since adoption of the IPPF in May 2008 no suitable viable schemes have come forward which fulfil the IPPF. An immediate review of the IPPF should be undertaken taking account of commercial considerations, and potentially relocating the retail offer anticipated on the Arcade site into proposals for an extension to 'The Mall'.	Not Accepted	No change. The emerging Walthamstow Town Centre AAP provides the opportunity to review the proposals contained in the IPPF. Paragraphs 4.19 - 4.23 only describes the Council's emerging aspirations for Walthamstow Town Centre. These will be further refined through the AAP preparation process.	YES
509339	The Mall Limited Partnership (Agent: Miss Marie Jasper, Associate, Barton)	csps184	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.27 - 4.29	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	There is an inconsistency in the terminology used within the Core Strategy which should be addressed for clarity. Walthamstow Town Centre is within a key regeneration area,	Accepted	Amend 4th sentence of paragraph 4.27 to read as: The designated centres of Walthamstow Town Centre, Wood Street, Leyton and Blackhorse Road are within the key growth areas. Amend Key Diagram legend	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Willmore)						while Policy CS1 refers to Walthamstow Town Centre, among other areas, as "Key Growth Areas". There is also an inconsistency in the terminology used in the Key Diagram.		(Regeneration Area) to read as "Key Growth Area".	
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps134	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.28	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	We are pleased that intensification is considered in tandem with its impacts on local character (paragraph 4.39). However, we recommend that this point is explicitly reflected in paragraph 4.28, given its particular relevance to town centres: "The quantum of growth to be realised in these centres will often depend on site specific development opportunities and potential, and impacts on local character."	Accepted	Amend the 2nd sentence of paragraph 4.28 to read as: "The quantum of growth to be realised in these centres will often depend on site specific development opportunities and potential, and impacts on local character."	Not specified
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps45	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.30	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for Paragraph 4.30.	Supported Noted	No Change	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps53	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.30	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Whilst the principle of a Site Allocations DPD is encouraged, it is not considered appropriate to defer determination of a planning application that meets the policy objectives in advance of the publication of the DPD. The last sentence of (C) should read, "Unless already brought forward through an appropriate masterplan	Not Accepted	No change. A masterplan is not a statutory planning document. Where proposals come forward in advance of the of the emerging Site Specific Allocations Document, the Council's currently adopted Unitary Development Plan will apply together with other material considerations.	YES



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							approach, proposals for sites will be detailed in the emerging Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document." Paragraph 4.30 should also reflect this revised text as appropriate.			
507042	Save Our Stow (Mr David Cunningham, Member )	csps66	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.30	Yes	No	(1) Justified	The inclusion of Walthamstow Dogs Stadium in this section (at Sub-section C) is premature. This is not a development site it is a leisure site which has been forced into disuse through a developer's speculative purchase.	Not Accepted	No Change. The Walthamstow Dogs Stadium has been a vacant site since August 2008. PPS1 (paragraph 27 (viii)) urges local planning authorities to seek actively to bring vacant and underused previously developed land and buildings back into beneficial use. To ensure this the Site Allocations document will establish the options for the redevelopment of this site.	YES
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps36	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.34	No	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	We are currently promoting the Kingfisher Sports Ground on behalf of Blackhorse Ventures. This land should be removed from the Green Belt as it does not meet the purposes for being in the Green Belt. We consider that the site does not meet any of the criteria set out in Paragraph 1.5 of PPG2. We consider that the site should be allocated as employment land.	Not Accepted	No Change. This land is open and contributes to the fundamental aim of preventing further urban development. There is no substantial case that Business Uses cannot be accommodated on the Employment and Mixed Use areas identified in the Plan.	Not specified
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps37	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Paragraph 4.35	No	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	The text of paragraph 4.35 should be altered to include all aims of Green Belt as set out in PPG2. The Kingfisher Sports Ground should be included within the boundaries of Waltham Park Way BEA.	Not Accepted	No Change. The site contributes to the fundamental aim of the green belt as set out in PPG2. There is no substantial case that Business Uses cannot be accommodated on the Employment and Mixed Use areas identified in the Plan.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
504551	Environment Agency (Miss Nancy Young, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps32	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Appendix 4 - Table 11 - Key Evidence for the Core Strategy	Yes	Yes	N/A	As part of the evidence base, the Sequential Test of the regeneration areas should be included in this list. Further to this, if as suggested in paragraph 8.31 a Level 2 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment has been carried out, this should also be included.	Accepted	Appendix 4 (Page 200) - Add: -Sequential and Exceptions Test for the Core Strategy, LBWF, 2010 -Flood Risk - Level 2 Strategy Flood Risk Assessment for the Core Strategy, LBWF, 2011	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps9	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Figure 7 - Key Diagram	Yes	Yes	N/A	The way in which the Key Diagram is presented continues to be supported.	Support Noted	No change	Not specified
183754	Lee Valley Regional Park Authority (Mr Stephen Wilkinson, Head of Planning and Regeneration )	csps34	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth -Figure 7 - Key Diagram	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The Regional Park as a strategic open space asset and major visitor destination within the Borough should be identified on the Key Diagram.	Not Accepted	The key diagram is for illustrative purposes. For reasons of scale it is impossible to show all the proposals and designations referred to in the Core Strategy. These will be shown on the Proposals Map DPD.	NO
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps46	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth -Figure 7 - Key Diagram	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for Figure 7 - the Key Diagram and its identification of Whipps Cross University Hospital as a 'Key Site' for development.	Support Noted	No change	NO
376691	City & Provincial Properties PLC (Agent: Charles Moran, CMA Planning Ltd)	csps152	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Figure 7 - Key Diagram	Yes	Yes	N/A	we support the (Northern Olympic Fringe) 'Regeneration Area' as illustrated on the key diagram.	Support Noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
510507	The Olympic Park Legacy Company (Niall McNevin, Director of Planning)	csps233	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Figure 7 - Key Diagram	Yes	No	(1) Justified	The illustration of the regeneration area of the Northern Olympic Fringe should extend to include those areas in and around Leyton. In addition, the 'Link to Opportunities' for Canary Wharf is potentially misleading as it draws attention away from the most immediate neighbouring site, the Olympic Park.	Accepted	Key Diagram to be amended as follows: 1. Amend the NOF area to include the areas in and around Leyton. 2. Remove link arrow to Canary Wharf	NO
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps48	Chapter 04 - Table 4 - Estimated housing capacity of key growth areas and other key sites	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Trust is particularly supportive of the identification of Whipps Cross University Hospital as a 'Key Site' capable of contributing (alongside redevelopment of the Walthamstow Stadium and Chingford Municipal Offices sites) around 2,500 new homes.	Support Noted	No Change	YES
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps54	Chapter 04 - Policy CS1 - Location and Management of Growth - Appendix 5 - Proposals Map Changes	Yes	Yes	N/A	The proposals map should identify the key sites listed in Policy CS1(C)	Not Accepted	No change - Key sites will be identified in the Site Allocations Development Plan document.	NO
499441	London Borough of Newham (Mr Gerard Ansell, Principal Planning Officer)	csps1	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Yes	Yes	N/A	support for the general thrust of policy particularly the emphasis on providing family accommodation as this is vital to reducing the high level of churn in the household population of East London.	Support noted	No change	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy	csps10	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for projected programme for housing delivery in adjoining boroughs when indicating phasing for major sites and regeneration areas	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	and Relationships)									
183298	Workspace Group (Agent: Andrew Ransome, Director, Ransome and Company Ltd)	csps190	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Not specified	No	(2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Concern that the affordable housing policy has not been based on an affordable housing viability assessment. The requirement to provide a minimum of 50% affordable housing on development sites is unsound as it not based reliable information and that this approach will potentially impact upon the viability of development schemes in the borough. The level of affordable housing will need to be assessed on a site by site basis with targets being subject to wider planning, economic viability, regeneration and sustainability considerations and will require a flexible approach to specific site negotiation. The requirement for 60% of affordable to social rented housing and 40% to be intermediate housing is inflexible. Supports for higher levels of intermediate housing as part of the affordable housing mix. The proposed tenure mix is indicative only and open to negotiation, whereby issues such as a scheme's viability and the economic and social benefits of regeneration are taken into consideration.	Not Accepted	No Change - As stated in appendix 4 - Key Evidence for the Core Strategy the Council undertook an Affordable Housing Viability Assessment to inform its policy approach. The Council's 50% affordable housing target is broadly in accordance with the DRLP and is based on local evidence in the Affordable Housing Viability Study and Strategic Housing Market Assessment. Sufficient explanation is provided in paragraphs 5.15, 5.16 and 5.40 as the Council's flexible approach to affordable housing delivery and negotiation. Paragraph 5.40 states that affordable housing will be negotiated on a site-by-site basis. The requirement for the affordable housing 60/40 tenure split accords with the DRLP and local evidence in the Affordable Housing Viability Study and Strategic Housing Market Assessment.	NO
510426	London Borough of Redbridge	csps223	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Concern is raised that the wording of Policy CS2 may result in an overprovision of larger family	Not Accepted	No change - The Council's evidence base clearly demonstrates that there is a clear need for larger housing across	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	(John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)		Choice				market units which would place greater pressure on meeting identified housing need and have a knock on effect to neighbouring boroughs such as Redbridge. Policy CS2 should only priorities the need for larger affordable homes in new development.		the borough in both the private and affordable sectors. This policy is seeking to balance the housing stock in the borough which is predominately 1 and 2 bed units.	
510507	The Olympic Park Legacy Company (Niall McNevin, Director of Planning)	csps234	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Does not consider the minimum requirement of 50% affordable housing has been justified as it is not consistent with national and regional policies. It is also 'material' to consider the availability of public subsidy when assessing viability.	Not Accepted	No change - The Council's approach to setting its borough wide affordable housing target is consistent with PPS3 as demonstrated in the Council's Affordable Housing Viability Study (2009). The Council's 50% affordable housing target is in accordance with the DRLP and is based on local evidence in the Affordable Housing Viability Study (2009 and Housing Needs Market Survey (2007). The Council's Development Management Preferred Options DPD (2011) policy DM3 paragraph 4.10 states that the Council will consider a number of factors including the level of public subsidy when considering a sites affordable housing contribution.	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps243	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The Council should acknowledge Waltham Forest's valuable contribution to housing in London and the need to consider housing from a strategic perspective, as well as a local one. The Council will need to consider how it will address the recent proposed changes to the PPS3 definition of affordable housing. The Council should consider the role HMOs	Accepted	Amend paragraph 5.2 by inserting a new first sentence to read as follows: "Waltham Forest will make a valuable contribution to housing in London." Insert "Affordable Rented Housing" into the second sentence of paragraph 5.12. Insert a fourth sentence into 5.12 to read as follows: "Affordable Rented Housing has the same characteristic as Social Rented	Not specified



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							<p>play in providing a low-cost and flexible housing option. The need to increase the supply of family housing in the borough should be sufficiently weighed against the need for HMOs. A balance has to be struck between realising the potential of residential conversions, especially those which meet the needs of smaller households. The requirement to the housing for older and vulnerable people should be local identified need of older and vulnerable people does this take sufficient account of the strategic needs.</p>		<p>Housing but is subject to other rent controls that require it to be offered to eligible households at a rent of up to 80 per cent of local market rents." Insert a new sentence at the beginning of paragraph 5.26 to read as follows: "The Council recognise the important role housing such as HMOs play in providing low cost and flexible housing options." Change the first, second and third sentences in paragraph 5.26 to read as follows: "However, in recent years dwelling conversions have taken place at an increasing rate, causing an over concentration of flat conversions and HMOs in certain areas in the south and central of the borough. The over concentration of such housing has caused a number of detrimental effects on the character and amenity of these areas such as added pressure on off street parking and local services. These conversions have also resulted in a loss of larger homes and an increase in the number of smaller homes contributing to the imbalance in the housing stock towards smaller homes." No change - The Council seek to ensure that any new provision of housing for older and vulnerable people meets local residents needs.</p>	
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr	csps47	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Yes	Yes	N/A	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for Policy CS2, which it considers to be both legally	Support noted	No change	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)						compliant and sound.			
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps55	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Clarity is sought in relation to the level of affordable housing being assessed on a site-by-site basis and be subject to a viability assessment.	Not Accepted	No change - Sufficient explanation is provided in paragraphs 5.15, 5.16 and 5.40 as the Council's approach to affordable housing delivery and negotiation. Paragraph 5.40 states that affordable housing will be negotiated on a site-by-site basis.	YES
151476	Mr Simon Munk	csps3	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.01	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Policy does not effectively deal with the rented property market or private landlords in terms of ensuring housing stock is of good quality and that is in use. It does not state how it will bring empty properties back into use.	Not Accepted	No Change - This policy cannot control the actions of private landlords. Policy CS2 requires high quality development from all new housing in the borough, whether it be for private sale, private rented or affordable housing. Development Management DPD (2011) policy DM8 provides greater detail as to the design requirements/considerations the Council will aim new housing to achieve. Paragraph 5.8 provides sufficient detail as to the Council's approach to bringing new homes back into use. The Council Submission draft DPD will provide greater detail as to how the Council will achieve this.	NO
183683	North East London NHS Foundation Trust (Agent: Mark Underwood, Drivers Jonas Deloitte)	csps177	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.01	Yes	Yes	N/A	In delivering new homes housing sites should not be limited to the GLA'S SLAA. Windfall sites have a significant role to play.	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
184020	Kennet Properties (For Thames Water) (Mr Alec Arrol, Development Planning Manager )	csps164	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.03	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Inadequate demonstration that there are sufficient sites to meet housing and affordable housing demand in the early stages of the Core Strategy.	Not Accepted	No change - The Council SHLAA and HLAA demonstrated the Council has adequate capacity (and sites) to meet its housing target over the plan period. The Council's housing projections as outlined in the Housing Trajectory (figure 8 page 45) demonstrate that the Council will meet is our five housing supply.	YES
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps49	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.04	Yes	Yes	N/A	Expresses support for Paragraph 5.4 and accompanying Table 4. Support for the identification of part of the Whipps Cross University Hospital as a 'Key Site' capable of contributing to the Councils housing target.	Support noted	No Change	YES
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps56	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.4	Yes	Yes	N/A	L&Q give strong support to the wording in paragraph 5.4 and specifically the recognition of the importance of the key sites, including Walthamstow Stadium, in delivering the Borough's challenging housing requirement.	Support noted	No change	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps244	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.7	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Paragraph 5.7 - Seek further strengthening to include reference to how London's unique circumstances warrant a justification for including an allowance for windfalls in accordance with PPS3 (Para 59) and the agreed approach of the 2009 London SHLAA/HCS.	Noted	No change	Not specified
451416	The Greater London Authority	csps245	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Raises concern about the promotion of a dynamic viability tool as a basis for assessing the	Not Accepted	No Change - The Dynamic Viability Model estimates the overall impact of housing market changes on viability	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	(Christine McGoldrick)		Choice - Paragraph 5.12				future level of affordable housing contribution.		within the borough and sets a borough wide 'viable' target. The Council will 'have regard' to this 'viable' target and use it as a starting point when negotiating the level of affordable housing on a site-by-site basis. Further guidance will be forthcoming.	
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps246	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.15	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Removal of reference to the Dynamic Viability Model from the policy since the preferred options document is welcomed.	Support noted	No change	Not specified
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps57	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.16	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Support for the uses of the dynamic viability model but seek assurances that this would not be put in place until such time as more detailed guidance has been issued and this has been formally consulted on.	Support Noted	No Change - Paragraph 5.16 provide additional detail in relation to Dynamic Viability. As stated in paragraph 5.16 the Council will prepare further detailed guidance.	YES
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps247	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.37	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The council is asked to consider whether the promotion and support for student accommodation could be appropriate.	Accepted	Amend the last sentence in paragraph 5.2 to read as follows: The third component is non-self contained units (such as hostels and student accommodation) which will contribute 45 (an annual target of 3) units.	Not specified
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps159	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph 5.30	Yes	No	(2) Effective	No robust policy/implementation how good housing design will be achieved or enforced, or how poor development design will be discourage.	Not Accepted	No Change - Core Strategy policy CS15 and Development Management DPD policy DM8 provide sufficient guidance as to how the Council will achieve good housing design and discourage poor development.	YES
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr	csps58	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Paragraph	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support the intention of paragraph 5.40 to allow flexibility in the current economic conditions.	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)		5.40							
423702	Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust (Agent: Mr Andy Bateson, AB Planning & Development Ltd)	csps50	Chapter 05 - Policy CS2 - Improving Housing Quality and Choice - Figure 9 - Housing Capacity by Ward (up to 2026)	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Whipps Cross University Hospital NHS Trust wishes to express support for Figure 9 of the Core Strategy.	Support Noted	No Change	YES
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps11	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	Yes	N/A	Pleased to see previous comments have been addressed	Support noted	No change	NO
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps118	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Not specified	Yes	N/A	Support encouragement of creative/ cultural industries	Support noted	No change	NO
508400	London Borough of Hackney (Mr Graham Loveland)	csps144	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	Yes	N/A	Unclear what approach to employment land will be until DM policies are adopted.	Not accepted	No change. The Core Strategy has been amended since Preferred Options to address this issue. Inclusion of details such as table 6, and the maps in the appendices, makes it clear what employment land is now being retained.	NO
186928	Tesco Store Limited (Agent: Emma Bearmore, GL Hearn)	csps148	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	No	No	(1) Justified	Does not want MURA1 designation to be carried forward from UDP, as set out in Appendix 5	Accepted	Amend description of MURA changes on page 206 to read as follows: The following areas will be removed from the 2006 UDP Proposals Map: MURA1, MURA2, MURA3, MURA4 and MURA5. Part of the MURA5 will be designates as BEA22 with boundary as	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									shown on Figure 6. No change proposed to MURA6.	
183298	Workspace Group (Agent: Andrew Ransome, Director, Ransome and Company Ltd)	csps191	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Not specified	No	(2) Effective	Wants flexibility over what uses are allowed within Uplands Business Park, or its de-designation from SIL	Not accepted	No change. Allowing a mix of uses in SIL would undermine its purposes as set out in the London Plan. Uplands Business Park is located some distance from Blackhorse Road station, so does not warrant release to allow mixed use regeneration as proposed in figure 12. URS Employment Land Study found Uplands Business Park to be a well functioning industrial estate.	NO
402948	Mr Firat Posluoglu	csps216	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Would like to see 16 Wellington Road de-designated from SIL	Accepted	Amend figure 12 so that 14A Burwell Road and 16 Wellington road are de-designated from SIL. Units suffer from poor access through a residential street unlike all other remaining premises within SIL designation.	YES
402769	Mr Mehmet Balaman	csps217	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Would like 14A Burwell Road to be de-designated from SIL	Accepted	Amend figure 12 so that 14A Burwell Road and 16 Wellington road are de-designated from SIL. Units suffer from poor access through a residential street unlike all other remaining premises within SIL designation.	YES
510507	The Olympic Park Legacy Company (Niall McNevin, Director of Planning)	csps235	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Would like a reference to the GLA's emerging Olympic Legacy Supplementary Planning Guidance	Accepted	Amend paragraph 6.7 so first two sentences are replaced with: The London Plan advocates the protection of identified Strategic Industrial Locations (SILs) in recognition of the role such developments play in the economy, and the difficulties in identifying appropriate sites for them. The emerging Olympic Legacy Supplementary Planning Guidance (OLSPG) will also reconcile industrial	NO



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									provision at a strategic level across the boroughs. SILs relevant to Waltham Forest are shown in Figure 10, Figure 11 and Figure 12.	
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps38	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	No	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	Would like Kingfisher Sports Ground to be designated for employment purposes	Not accepted	No change. Based on evidence of demand, our approach is to rationalise the level of employment land provided and use existing supply more efficiently. In such a climate, it is not possible to justify green belt release.	Not specified
507115	Cunningham	csps69	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Concerned that BEA5 designation is not consistent with IPPF or planning briefs for the area	Not accepted	No change. See response to csps70.	NO
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of Planning Policy)	csps227	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land - Paragraph 6.7	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Support retention of SIL at Central Leaside	Support noted	No change	Not specified
376691	City & Provincial Properties PLC (Agent: Charles Moran, CMA Planning Ltd)	csps154	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land - Paragraph 6.8	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support de-designation of 97 Lea Bridge Road	Support noted	No change	NO
509376	Hollivale Limited (Agent: Mr Mike Hood, MacDonald Egan)	csps186	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land - Figure 11 - Blackhorse Lane Strategic Industrial Location	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Would like further SIL release at Blackhorse Lane to facilitate regeneration.	Accepted	Alteration to boundary of figure 11. Plan was drawn inaccurately and should be amended for consistency with Urban Design Framework for the area and to help facilitate regeneration.	NO
152204	London Development	csps108	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making	Yes	Yes	N/A	Land at Orient Way/ Lea Bridge Road should be released from SIL	Accepted	Highlight SIL release at small portion of land south east of SEA5 release, as	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Agency (Stephen Kennard, Director, Land & Development)		Efficient Use of Employment Land - Figure 12 - Lea Bridge Gateway Strategic Industrial Location						shown on attached map. NB finalised figure 12 should also show release of Gas Works site as per proposed submission version of plan.	
507115	Cunningham	csps71	Chapter 06 - Policy CS3 - Making Efficient Use of Employment Land - Figure 31 - Employment Land in Blackhorse Lane Area	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Figure 31 should reflect the IPPF and planning briefs	Not accepted	No change. See further explanation in response to csps70	NO
507115	Cunningham	csps70	Chapter 06 - Appendix 5 - Proposals Map Changes	Yes	No	(1) Justified	BEA5 designation is not considered consistent with IPPF	Not accepted	No change. The existing IPPF and planning briefs are now out of date and being revised through an AAP and Urban Design Framework (UDF) for the area. CS3 is consistent with these new plans for the area. The Core Strategy has been subject to public consultation, and both the AAP and UDF will be in due course.	NO
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps119	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Not specified	Yes	N/A	The Theatres Trust (for arts & culture) support Policy CS4 as it will resist the loss of existing social infrastructure and promote the enhancement of existing.	Support noted	No change	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps12	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Yes	Yes	N/A	The approach in the policy is broadly welcomed. The focus of the policy is towards securing infrastructure in association with housing growth. While this may represent the most significant opportunity for securing infrastructure or contributions to	Accepted.	Policy CS4 , amend first part of the policy to read as follows: " The Council will ensure that while encouraging housing and economic growth in the Borough, appropriate infrastructure is provided to cater for the needs of existing and future populations, by:"	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							infrastructure, the policy could include the introduction of Community Infrastructure Levy.		Policy CS4 point E) is amended to read as follows: " requiring new developments to contribute towards the provision of social and other necessary infrastructure with contributions being either on-site, through planning contributions or through any applicable Community Infrastructure Levy; and	
151096	Metropolitan Police Authority/Metropolitan Police Service (Agent: Mr John Smith, Cgms)	csps165	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	No	No	(2) Effective	The MPA/MPS objects to emergency services being listed as physical infrastructure in paragraph 7.3. To ensure that the DPD complies with London Plan Policy 3A.17 it should be included within social infrastructure (paragraph 7.2). Policy CS4 states that it will resist the loss of existing social infrastructure facilities, no exceptions are given. This is inconsistent with Development Management Policies DPD Policy DM 18 where loss is permitted if provision of the social infrastructure is reprovided,	Accepted	Paragraph 7.3 , last bullet point "Emerging services (police, ambulances and fire" is moved to be included as last bullet point in paragraph 7.2. Paragraph 7.4 Policy CS4 point A) is amended to read as follows: "A) promoting the enhancement of existing social infrastructure and resisting its loss unless it meets the criteria as set out in Policy DM18 Social Infrastructure."	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps169	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Policy CS4 is supported. However, this wording could be strengthened by making it clear that planning permission will not be granted where developers have not demonstrated that adequate water supply and waste water treatment capacity exists both on and off site to serve development or that extra capacity can be provided in time to serve the development. It is	Accepted	Amend 2nd sentence of paragraph 7.21 to read as follows: "The Development Management Policies Document contains details of Infrastructure provision. Policy DM18 states that the Council will seek appropriate infrastructure provision regarding new development or schemes that create additional demand for infrastructure to make an appropriate contribution to the	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							essential that developers demonstrate that adequate capacity exists both on and off site to serve development. However it is recognised that the Waltham Forest Development Management Policies DPD Preferred Options contains more detailed policy regarding the provision of infrastructure and has a specific policy on water and water infrastructure.		provision."	
183683	North East London NHS Foundation Trust (Agent: Mark Underwood, Drivers Jonas Deloitte)	csps178	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Yes	Yes	N/A	NELFT acknowledges the need for the provision of appropriate infrastructure to support development. NELFT considers that there needs to be more flexibility in the application of this policy. Pressures for efficiency savings and reduced management costs are pioneered by the government white paper "equity and excellence: liberating the NHS" (July 2010). As such, the sale of redundant and underused sites is an important element of efficiency savings. This policy, and the supporting text, should take greater account of the need for this flexibility, and should not restrict health care sites to solely health care uses in future.	Comment noted	No change	NO
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of	csps221	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Policy CS4 (Providing Infrastructure) is supported. It should recognise some infrastructure catchments are within the sub-region. Whipps	Noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Planning Policy)						Cross Hospital have catchments that extend across neighbouring boroughs, which serves the residents living in the northern part of the London Borough of Redbridge. It is suggested that the implications of infrastructure catchments are recognised in Policy CS4.			
510456	Her Majesty's Court Service (Agent: Miss Charlotte Handscomb, DPP LLP)	csps232	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Requested that the court service is included within the definition of social infrastructure set out in paragraph 7.2. The inclusion of courts within this supporting text will contribute to the overall thrust of strategic objective 4.	Accepted	Insert additional bullet point "Courts" at the end of paragraph 7.2.	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps59	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure The supporting text to Policy CS4 should reference over the plan period, the CIL will be the principal vehicle for securing developer contributions towards infrastructure. The requirements of any borough-wide CIL, in combination with the emerging London-wide CIL must be recognised when negotiating non-CIL contributions, principally affordable housing. The infrastructure delivery plan should recognise the contribution that Walthamstow Stadium will make towards infrastructure needs and the importance of balancing this with affordable housing needs.	Noted	No change. The Council emphasise details of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is included in paragraphs 4.44 and 4.45 of the Core Strategy. In addition Policy DM 37 deals with working with partners and infrastructure provision and community infrastructure levy and any appropriate tariff system.	NO
406010	Universal Church of the	csps195	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Council's belief that faith centres represent	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP10)		Infrastructure - Paragraph 7.8				social infrastructure that is essential to improving people's quality of life. The UCKG is highly adaptive, listening to and identifying the needs of its members and new comers.			
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP11)	csps196	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Paragraph 7.12	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG is proud to own listed buildings and welcomes custody of them as part of its community outreach.	Comment Noted	No change	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps172	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Paragraph 7.21 & 7.22	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The relevance and meaning of the last three sentences of paragraph 7.21 is unclear. Suggested the sentences should be reworded for clarity or removed.	Accepted.	The last three sentences would be removed.	NO
151476	Mr Simon Munk	csps4	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Paragraph 7.24	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The DPD should be amended to include some idea of how infrastructure is planned to be physically located near to, within etc. new housing development or areas planned for intensified housing.	Accepted	Amend 1st and 2nd sentences of paragraph 7.24 to read as follows: "The Infrastructure Delivery Plan at Appendix 1 Table 8 of this document includes details of infrastructure provision for the borough. Further details of identifying appropriate sites for new infrastructure will largely be through Area Action Plans and Site Specific Allocations DPDs."	Not specified
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps112	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Appendix 1 - Table 8 - Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Yes	Yes	N/A	Appendix 1 - Infrastructure Delivery Plan, Table 8, Transport section, Bus Services - "... Improvements and increase in bus service frequency should be clarified".	Accepted	Delete "No planned provision". Replace with "Further discussion with relevant organisations such as Transport for London and GLA would be carried out to ensure appropriate improvements and increase in service frequency".	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps174	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Appendix 1 - Table 8	Yes	Yes	N/A	Updated information that construction of the Thames Gateway Water Treatment Plant (Desalination) was completed and	Accepted	Amend Table 8 , Water section in Location/Growth column to read as follows: "Cross Borough - construction of the	NO



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
			- Infrastructure Delivery Plan				the Plant was commissioned in April 2010. The following sentence in the Water section should be completed. "Based on the limited information available, additional required infrastructure is expected to include upgraded or renovated"		Thames Gateway Water Treatment Plant (Desalination) was completed and the Plant was commissioned in April 2010. Based on the existing information available, additional required infrastructure and upgrades to existing infrastructure will be required. The Council will liaise with developers and Thames Water in identifying and providing the infrastructure required."	
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps27	Chapter 07 - Policy CS4 - Providing Infrastructure - Appendix 1 - Table 8 - Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Yes	Yes	N/A	The reference to bus improvement from Blackhorse Lane to Stratford should refer to the "Olympic Delivery Authority".	Accepted	Amended "Funding" to "Olympic Delivery Authority".	NO
407402	NHS London Healthy Urban Development Unit (Malcolm Souch)	csps116	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	Yes	N/A	Code Level 4 and BREEAM 'excellent' should be referenced in the Core Strategy to reflect Policy DM11 of the draft Development Management Policies document	Not accepted.	No Change. While the Core Strategy sets the strategic context, the Development Management Policies are criteria based policies that define targets and standards and therefore add further detail to the Core Strategy. The Council does not want to duplicate development management policies in the Core Strategy.	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps13	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	Yes	N/A	Reference to a borough wide carbon reduction target has been included in paragraph 8.8. This matter can, therefore, be considered to have been addressed. The content and wider scope of the policy continues to be considered appropriate.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
151082	English Heritage	csps135	Chapter 08 - Policy	Not	Not	N/A	The significance of heritage assets	Partly	Please note that importance of	Not

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	(Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )		CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	specified	specified		as part of the process of identifying opportunities for introducing climate change measures need to be recognised. This important issue should be highlighted within policy CS5 and supporting text, with a cross-reference to the more detailed historic environment policies in chapter 15, Policy CS 12.	accepted.	protecting heritage has been included in the supporting text, paragraph 8.13. A reference to Policy 15 will be added. Due to the strategic nature of the Core Strategy policies, no amendments will be made to the actual policy itself. Furthermore, there is no need for a replication of the policies of PPS 5 in the Core Strategy; therefore a reference to PPS 5 in the justification is considered to suffice. Amend last sentence of paragraph 8.13 to read as follows: "Whilst seeking opportunities to reuse and modify heritage assets to mitigate and adapt to climate change, the Council will seek to protect the significance of the heritage asset and its setting in accordance with the requirements of PPS5, Policy HE1, and Policy CS15."	specified
508400	London Borough of Hackney (Mr Graham Loveland)	csps145	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	Yes	N/A	The representation stresses the importance of flood risk as a cross boundary issue and recommends that a reference should be included to working with neighbouring LA's and the Environmental Agency to address flood risk in a coordinated and strategic manner.	Accepted	Insert additional sentence at the beginning of paragraph 8.33 to read as follows: "The Council will work with neighbouring Local Authorities and the Environmental Agency to address flood risk in a coordinated and strategic manner.	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps171	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	Yes	N/A	The inclusion of Policy CS5 within the Core Strategy is supported, particularly as it relates to water efficiency and flood risk.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
406764	Aviva Life and Pensions UK Ltd (Agent:	csps181	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate	Yes	Yes	N/A	The representation suggests that setting standards such as BREEAM and Code for	Not accepted.	No change. The Codes/BREEAM go further than the current building regulations in that they cover a more	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Sarah Dawson, Associate, Barton Willmore)		Change				Sustainable Homes in appropriate, since compliance with these standards are dealt with under Building Regulations. The representation suggests that Policy should therefore refer to the requirements of Building Regulations as the 'required' standard for development to achieve.		comprehensive list of sustainability criteria. The Council intends to achieve higher standards of sustainable design across the range of criteria that are covered in the Codes and BREEAM (Energy/CO2, Water, Materials, Surface Water Runoff, Waste, Pollution, Health and Well-being, Management and Ecology). The Building Regulations Part L 2010 require a 25% reduction of carbon emissions over 2006 regulations, which equates Code Level 3. The Council is currently undertaking a viability assessment and will set appropriate (and potentially higher) Code and BREEAM standards in the Development Management DPD (Policy DM11). The Building Regulations Part L 2010 require a 25% reduction of carbon emissions over 2006 regulations, which equates Code Level 3. The Council is currently undertaking a viability assessment and will set appropriate (and potentially higher) Code and BREEAM standards in the Development Management DPD (Policy DM11).	
509339	The Mall Limited Partnership (Agent: Miss Marie Jasper, Associate, Barton Willmore)	csps185	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	No	(3) Consistent with national policy	Policy CS5 Should seek to encourage rather than impose a requirement relating to environment standards. The representation suggests that setting standards such as BREEAM and Code for Sustainable Homes is not appropriate, since compliance with	Not Accepted.	No change. The Codes/BREEAM go further than the current building regulations. The Council intends to achieve higher standards of sustainable design across the range of criteria that are covered in the Codes and BREEAM (Energy/CO2, Water, Materials, Surface Water Runoff, Waste, Pollution, Health and	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							these standards are dealt with under Building Regulations. The representation suggests that Policy should therefore refer to the requirements of Building Regulations as the 'required' standard for development to achieve.		Well-being, Management and Ecology). The Building Regulations Part L 2010 require a 25% reduction of carbon emissions over 2006 regulations, which equates Code Level 3. The Council notes the reference to the Poole Core Strategy. However, the Council understands from the Inspectors Report that Poole Borough Council anticipated to accelerate carbon reduction targets (in comparison to the national framework) and that no work had been carried out locally on the viability and deliverability implications of the additional costs involved. Contrary to Pool Borough Council, Waltham Forest Council does not set carbon reduction targets that are different from national/London Plan targets (note that the targets are set in the Development Management DPD). By referencing the Codes for Sustainable Homes and BREEAM targets, the Council anticipates that wider sustainability aspects of buildings are taken into consideration. It should further be noted that the Council has recently undertaken a study on the local viability of climate change targets to inform its decision on the most suitable Code and BREEAM standards, which will be set in the Development Management DPD (Policy DM11).	
183298	Workspace Group (Agent: Andrew Ransome,	csps192	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Not specified	No	(2) Effective; (3) Consistent	Workspace considers that Policy CS5 is unsound on the basis it fails to refer to viability or feasibility and as such is not compliant with	Not accepted.	No change. Viability and feasibility considerations are covered in the Council's emerging Development Management DPD. This	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Director, Ransome and Company Ltd)					with national policy	PPS1 and its supplement.		<p>document sets targets and standards and is therefore the suitable policy to refer to viability. Core Strategy and Development Management DPD need to be read in conjunction and should not be repetitive.</p> <p>The Council has recently undertaken a climate change viability assessment, which will provide the evidence for setting carbon reduction targets and environmental standards in the Development Management DPD (Policy DM11).</p> <p>The Council further disagrees with the statement that policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change was "not necessary" as a "result of significant changes to national policy". The Council anticipates to endorse climate change standards and targets in accordance with national and London Plan standards and targets in its Core Strategy.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Council has included a reference to CSH and BREEAM standards. The Council intends to achieve higher standards of sustainable design across the range of criteria that are covered in the Codes and BREEAM (Energy/CO2, Water, Materials, Surface Water Runoff, Waste, Pollution, Health and Well-being, Management and Ecology). The Codes/BREEAM address more sustainability criteria than the current building regulations and the Council considers standards such as CSH/BREEAM a useful tool in</p>	

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									addressing the overall sustainability of buildings (beyond the carbon reduction requirements). The Council therefore provides further detail and targets on these standards in the Development Management DPD (DM 11). The Council therefore considers that the requirements of national policy have been fulfilled and considers the policy both consistent with national policy, necessary, and effective.	
510021	Natural England (Isabel Assaly, Land Management, Planning and Conservation Adviser)	csps210	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Representation recommends to reference the term Green Infrastructure (GI) is referenced within this policy.	Not accepted.	No change. Green Infrastructure and the interrelationship between green infrastructure and climate change has been reference in paragraph 8.4. Introducing further references in the policy would result in unnecessary duplication.	Not specified
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)	csps222	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Representation suggested recognising the importance of cross-borough working in facilitating the delivery of decentralised energy capacity and networks.	Accepted.	Amend first sentence of paragraph 8.16 to read as follows: "Waltham Forest has been working with the London Development Agency (LDA) to investigate opportunities of establishing decentralised energy as part of the London Heat Map tool and is keen to liaise with neighbouring authorities, stakeholders, developers and land owners inside and outside the borough to facilitate the delivery of decentralised energy networks."	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps248	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	a) The Policy should be expanded in line with Policies 5.3, 5.6 and 5.7 of the draft replacement London Plan. b) Target for CO2 emissions should be included in line with Policy 5.2 of the draft	a and b) Not accepted. c) Support Noted. d) Accepted.	The Core Strategy need to be read in conjunction with Development Management Policy 11 and 12 which provide further detail and CO2 targets in line with policies 5.3, 5.4 and 5.7 of the draft replacement London Plan.	Not specified



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							replacement London Plan. c) The approach to Decentralised energy is in line with the strategy for decentralised energy in London. d) Whilst there is a clearly a need to improve the performance of the existing CHP in the borough, this should be reworded, so that developers will not be discouraged from considering CHP for future developments.		a) and b) No change. c) No change. d) Add additional sentence at the end of paragraph 8.17 to read as follows: "As part of the delivery of a decentralised energy network within the Borough, the Council will seek to facilitate improvements to existing decentralised energy and CHP systems and their connection to a wider network where appropriate." "Delete paragraph 8.18.	
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps60	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change	Yes	Yes	N/A	L&Q support Policy CS5	Support noted.	No change.	NO
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of Planning Policy)	csps228	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change - Paragraph 8.16 & 8.35	Yes	Yes	N/A	Enfield Council welcomes the commitment to energy efficiency and decentralised energy.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
151002	Thames Water Utilities Ltd (Carmelle Bell)	csps173	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change - Paragraph 8.29 & 8.33	Yes	Yes	N/A	The objectives of increasing water efficiency and reducing flood risk are supported.	Support Noted.	No change.	NO
504551	Environment Agency (Miss Nancy Young, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps31	Chapter 08 - Policy CS5 - Minimising and Adapting to Climate Change - Paragraph 8.31	Yes	Yes	N/A	We find policy CS5 and the supporting text sound. However, we suggest a minor amendment is included to outline that the Sequential Test has been carried out by the Council.	Accepted.	Insert additional sentence at the end of paragraph 8.30 to read as follows: "The Council has carried out a Sequential Test for the key growth areas in house."	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery	csps14	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for references to MOL and the Olympic Park.	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)		Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)							
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps136	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Request to strengthen the reference to the historic value of greenspaces.	Accepted	The Council accepts the comment and will propose the following amendments to policy B) and to the associated supporting text. Amend policy B) to read as follows: "B) enhancing the green infrastructure network through the creation and enhancement of open spaces, whilst also conserving their historic value." Amend the first part of paragraph 9.7 to read as follows: "The term green infrastructure is used to describe the network of green spaces and other environmental features that are created and managed as a multi-functional resource. The Council aims to improve green infrastructure links in order to support community recreation and biodiversity simultaneously. The historic character and value of open spaces should also be conserved, enhanced and more widely understood. Such historic value can be found in a variety of open spaces which may include cemeteries, parks and waterways that represent the historic evolution of the Borough."	Not specified
510021	Natural England (Isabel Assaly, Land Management, Planning and	csps211	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Request for the policy to support and contribute to, the Lee Valley Regional Park Development Framework (2011) aims and objectives with regards to the	Not accepted	No change. The Council regards the reference to the Lee Valley Regional Park's Development Framework in paragraphs 9.15 and 9.34 to be sufficient in this regard.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Conservation Adviser)		Enhancement of the Natural Environment)				natural environment.			
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)	csps224	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the policy	Support noted	No change	NO
510507	The Olympic Park Legacy Company (Niall McNevin, Director of Planning)	csps236	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	Clarification that the green link between Leyton Mills and Eton Manor is being proposed by the Northern Olympic Fringe Area Action Plan (AAP).	Accepted	Amend the last part of paragraph 9.7 to read as follows: The Council advocates the delivery of the Greenways network as put forward by Sustrans to encourage more walking and cycling and the creation of green links. There are a number of priority routes which will be supported through the LDF. The routes comprise: Larkswood Park to Lower Hall Lane, Mansfield Park to Woodford Golf Course, Crooked Billet to Lea Valley, and the Valley Road shared use path. The green link between Leyton Mills and Eton Manor will be outlined in the Northern Olympic Fringe Area Action Plan (AAP). These Greenway routes should be strengthened further by improving the links to trip generators, particularly schools. The Council will also encourage landscaping and environmental improvements adjacent to roads, especially where they pass through areas of environmental significance, such as the Lee Valley Park.	NO
504551	Environment Agency (Miss	csps29	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the policy	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Nancy Young, Planning Liaison Officer)		Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)							
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps39	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	No	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Calls for de-designation of Green Belt at the Kingfisher Sports Ground.	Not accepted	Not accepted - see response to csps38.	Not specified
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps91	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Request for stronger reference to Epping Forest.	Accepted	Amend policy F) of CS6 as follows: F) protecting, promoting and enhancing the Lee Valley Regional Park and Epping Forest; and Amend supporting text to the above policy so that the start of paragraph 9.15 reads as follows: "The Borough is bounded to the northeast by Epping Forest and to the west by the Lee Valley Regional Park. The Council is a Competent Authority under the Habitats Regulations 2010 and has the responsibility to enhance biodiversity. Epping Forest constitutes 11% of the Borough's area and 36% of the open spaces in the Borough."	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps61	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity (aka Protection and Enhancement of the Natural Environment)	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the policy and request for development of key sites to incorporate open space.	Support noted, suggestion for change not accepted.	No change. The provision of open space and facilities is not appropriate for all development proposals. The emerging Development Management policies (DM13, C) provide greater clarity on this issue and will encourage the creation of new areas of open	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									space and landscaping where appropriate.	
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps88	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.4	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Text to be made more explicit about how the Council would protect as well as enhance open land such as Green Belt and MOL, especially the irreplaceable ancient, semi-natural habitats of areas like Epping Forest and Larks Wood.	Not accepted	he Council considers that such detail is best dealt with in the Development Management Policies, specifically policy DM13. Please note that DM13 of the Development Management Policies strengthens Core Strategy policy CS6 and aims to ensure that development proposals complement and improve the quality of existing open space uses within Green Belt and Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). The Council will include a reference to Epping Forest and the need to add to or enhance existing Green Corridors in forthcoming revisions of DM13.	NO
184020	Kennet Properties (For Thames Water) (Mr Alec Arrol, Development Planning Manager )	csps163	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.4	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	Call for de-designation of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) at the Thames Water site.	Not accepted	No change. The Council will not be undertaking a strategic review of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) as it has been demonstrated through the SHLAA (2009) and Employment Land Study (2009) that there is sufficient identifiable land within the Borough to meet the foreseeable needs for housing and employment uses.	YES
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps160	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.4 - 9.5	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Support of these paragraphs but also request to have a height restriction of no more than 5 storeys adjacent to MOL.	Not accepted.	No change. The Council will deal with the issue of tall buildings through CS15 Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces, particularly policies A) and C). Development proposals will be assessed on their individual design merit and the associated Development Management Policies will be applied; of note is DM32 Tall Buildings. Paragraph 9.	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
403791	Rapleys (Anthony Pharoah, Senior Planner)	csps40	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.5	No	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	Request to remove Kingfisher Sports Ground from the Green Belt and include as an extension to the adjacent BEA.	Not accepted	Not accepted - see response to csps38.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps84	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.7	Yes	No	(1) Justified	Request to insert 'and Epping Forest' at the end of paragraph 9.7	Accepted	Insert 'and Epping Forest' at the end of paragraph 9.7 so that the last sentence reads as follows: The Council will also encourage landscaping and environmental improvements adjacent to roads, especially where they pass through areas of environmental significance, such as the Lee Valley Park and Epping Forest.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps92	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.13	Yes	Yes	N/A	Request for the insertion of SAC/SSSI in brackets after the words Epping Forest in paragraph 9.13.	Accepted	Insert ' (SAC/SSSI)' after the words Epping Forest in paragraph 9.13.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps81	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.15	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	See response to csps79	See response to csps79	See response to csps79	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps79	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.15	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	Request to strengthen the role of Epping Forest within the supporting text in order to provide a more balanced view when compared with the Lee Valley Regional Park.	Accepted	Amend paragraph 9.15 to read as follows:  Epping Forest  The Council is a Competent Authority under the Habitats Regulations 2010	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									<p>and has the responsibility to enhance biodiversity. Epping Forest contains internationally (SAC) and nationally-important (SSSI) habitats and other open spaces of regional and local importance. It is dominated by ancient woodlands, including very special and characteristic ancient pollarded trees, extensive grasslands, heaths, green lanes and waterbodies. This constitutes the Borough's most significant open space at 430 hectares, making up 11% of the whole Borough's area and over one third (36%) of the Borough's open spaces and playing fields. Epping Forest also presents opportunities for learning, play and volunteering with rich wildlife, ancient history and completely open access. The Forest, through its free and open access running the whole length of the Borough, and with such a wide variety of habitats and open spaces, has potential to alleviate inequality, particularly to outdoor recreation and education from the boating lake on Hollow Ponds in the south of the Borough to the Queen Elizabeth's Hunting Lodge in the north. At the Queen Elizabeth's Hunting Lodge a new visitor facility and education centre will be established which will enhance the accessibility of the Forest and a greater number of visitors in the future. New trails and walks are additionally being promoted to make the Forest easier to explore on foot, by bike and by horse. Improvements to facilities in</p>	



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									<p>Epping Forest should be carefully designed and located in order to preserve the character and biodiversity value.</p> <p>The Lee Valley Regional Park</p> <p>The Lee Valley Regional Park is a distinctive statutory asset within Waltham Forest and involves a vast area of open land with reservoirs, meadows and marshes. The Lee Valley Regional Park should be protected, promoted and enhanced in line with its statutory purpose Park Act, 1996 . The Lea Valley offers opportunities for learning and volunteering due to the rich heritage of the area as well as being a valuable resource for leisure, recreation, sport and nature conservation. The Regional Park has the potential to alleviate inequalities and provide opportunities for the community to access nature and the waterside environment. Consequently, the Council supports the facilities that the Regional Park currently provides as well as the future Olympic legacy facilities at Eton Manor, the Velopark and the proposed new green link between Leyton Mill and Eton Manor. The Council will support and work in unison the Lee Valley Regional Park in order to deliver the Park Development Framework.</p>	
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent	csps93	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Request for inclusion of Epping Forest in this section along with the Ching and the Hollow Ponds.	Accepted	Amend paragraph 9.18 to read as follows: The Lea Valley Reservoirs in particular	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	of Epping Forest )		and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.18						form a network of open spaces that have the potential to link to the wider green infrastructure network and to local communities. Improvements to the water quality and habitats of the reservoirs is a key challenge, and the Council will aim to effectively manage, protect and support catalyst projects in the Borough, such as the Lee Valley Pathway project, the Walthamstow Wetlands project, proposals for the Green grid and the revitalisation of Dagenham Brook. The Upper Lee Valley Landscape Strategy makes specific reference to the Walthamstow Wetlands project. The Strategy identifies the potential in terms of distinctiveness, diversity of open spaces and likelihood of attracting public interest from across the region. Epping Forest is also an important resource for waterways and waterbodies and encompasses the River Ching and the Hollow Ponds which provides a potential linking open space with health benefits for the patients at Whipps Cross Hospital. Improving access to open spaces and watercourses within the Borough should be encouraged but requires well designed access arrangements and suitable management.	
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps94	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.19	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support the Council's aspirations and objectives for well-connected green infrastructure.	Support Noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
151341	Ms Sandra MacPhee	csps218	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.20	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Request for reference to be made to the impact of air pollution caused by vehicles to Epping Forest (SAC).	Not accepted	The Council considers that such reference best sits within policy DM25 Environmental Protection within the Development Management Policies document. This will be incorporated into forthcoming revisions of the Development Management Policies. Please note that the current content of DM25 refers to the management arrangements for air quality and the requirements for assessments, surveys or investigations where appropriate. These requirements are Borough-wide in their impact and will apply to Epping Forest (SAC/SSSI). Of particular importance on this issue are paragraphs 26.6, 26.7 and 26.16.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps95	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.20	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the section and for S106 to be critical in funding for enhancing habitat management.	Support noted	No change	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps96	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.31	Yes	Yes	N/A	Request to include a reference to safe access from the Lee Valley Riding Centre to the Forest riding network.	Not accepted	The Council considers this to be an issue which is best addressed in the Development Management policies due to the level of detail and the uncertainty of the proposed measures at present. No change to CS6 but will be included within the next revision of Development Management Policy 13: Open Space, Sport and Recreation.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps97	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.32	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the section.	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps99	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.33	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the section and for the Council's Tree Strategy. Calls for increasing tree coverage across the borough and for native species to be encouraged.	Not accepted	The Council considers that such reference to native trees is best placed within the Development Management Policies document which will provide greater detail for determining planning applications. Please refer to policy DM36 Biodiversity, paragraph 37.5 of the Development Management Policies Preferred Options (January 2011) which outlines that where possible, native and/ or climate change tolerant species should be used in landscaping schemes. This is in accordance with the Council's Climate Change Strategy. The Council will aim to strengthen this statement and add the following to the end of paragraph 37.5 of DM36 to read as follows: 'This is particularly relevant in order to establish and enhance links between areas of nature conservation importance, for instance, between Epping Forest and other wooded areas (e.g. Larks Wood) in the Borough.'	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps98	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Paragraph 9.34	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the reference made to the Epping Forest Transport Strategy.	Support noted	No change	NO
510021	Natural England (Isabel Assaly, Land Management, Planning and Conservation Adviser)	csps213	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Appendix 4 - Table 11 - Key Evidence for the Core Strategy	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Calls for appropriate protection and, if necessary, robust mitigations to be delivered to ensure that adverse impacts on biodiversity and green space are removed and/or minimised.	Not accepted	The Development Management policies provide greater detail on this issue. , In particular DM36 Biodiversity policy A) satisfies these aims.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
510021	Natural England (Isabel Assaly, Land Management, Planning and Conservation Adviser)	csps214	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Appendix 4 - Table 11 - Key Evidence for the Core Strategy	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Support for HRA methodology and recommendations for the HRA of Site Allocations and the Proposals Map. Recommendations for strengthening the wording for NOF3.	Noted	The Council will produce a HRA for the Site Allocations DPD and will consult Natural England for all DPDs produced. The recommendation for NOF3 will be considered in the next stage of the document.	Not specified
184020	Kennet Properties (For Thames Water) (Mr Alec Arrol, Development Planning Manager )	csps162	Chapter 09 - Policy CS6 - Enhancing Green Infrastructure and Biodiversity - Appendix 5 - Proposals Map Changes	Yes	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	Call for de-designation of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) at the Thames Water site.	Not accepted	No change. The Council will not be undertaking a strategic review of Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) as it has been demonstrated through the SHLAA (2009) and Employment Land Study (2009) that there is sufficient identifiable land within the Borough to meet the foreseeable needs for housing and employment uses.	YES
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps15	Chapter 10 - Policy CS7 - Promoting Sustainable Waste Management and Recycling (aka Sustainable Waste Management)	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy Noted and supported.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps249	Chapter 10 - Policy CS7 - Promoting Sustainable Waste Management and Recycling (aka Sustainable Waste Management)	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Representation suggests to provide references to apportionment and recycling targets in policy in addition to justification.	Accepted.	Amend Policy CS7, first sentence to read as follows: "The Council will work in partnership with the North London Waste Authority (NLWA) partner boroughs in order to manage its waste to meet the London Plan apportionments and recycling targets in a sustainable manner by:"	Not specified
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps250	Chapter 10 - Policy CS7 - Promoting Sustainable Waste Management and Recycling -	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Paragraph 10.9 addresses the requirements of London Plan and Draft Replacement London Plan.	Support noted.	No change.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
			Paragraph 10.9							
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner (Policy and Relationships) )	csps16	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport (aka Developing a Sustainable Transport Network)	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy noted and supported.	Support Noted.	No change.	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps100	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport (aka Developing a Sustainable Transport Network)	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The policy approach is broadly supported. Request to reference the Epping Forest Transport Strategy and a partnership with the Conservators of Epping Forest to ensure a reduced impact of vehicular transport on the environs of the Forest, whilst aiming to improve sustainable transport access points to the Forest.	Not accepted.	No Change. Our Core Strategy sets out our commitment to working with partners on reducing traffic impacts and encouraging sustainable transport, including Epping Forest, yet we do not seek to list all potential partners in the document. The Council considers that specific reference to Epping Forests transport strategy is too detailed and specific to fit into the Core Strategy, due to its strategic nature. The issues raised (i.e. minimising traffic impacts and encouraging sustainable access) are in principle addressed in the policies of the Core Strategy (CS 8). The Development Management DPD (Policy DM 14 -17) further set out more detailed policies that supplement the Core Strategy. DM 14h) references the impacts vehicular traffic on Epping Forest.	NO
507987	Essex County Council (Miss Zhanine Oates, Principal Planner)	csps113	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport (aka Developing a Sustainable Transport Network)	Yes	Yes	N/A	1.) Connectivity to Essex should be recognised, facilitated and promoted. 2.) While policy refers to public transport, bus travel is not specifically mentioned.	1.) Accepted. 2.) Not accepted.	1.) Note that connectivity to Essex is recognised in paragraph 11.10. An additional sentence will be added in paragraph 11.9 after the second sentence to read as follows: "The Council will seek to work with partners and neighbouring authorities	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									to improve connectivity to key destinations within the borough and beyond."  2.) No change. Since buses are part of the public transport, the policy includes accessibility, reliability and quality of bus travel. This is further clarified in paragraph 11.26, which references the bus network.	
508400	London Borough of Hackney (Mr Graham Loveland)	csps146	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport (aka Developing a Sustainable Transport Network)	Yes	Yes	N/A	The representation make no objection to the policies on Sustainable Transport as they stand.	Support on the policy and additional comment noted.	No change.	NO
404194	UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd (Agent: Annie Pang, ADRIAN SALT AND PANG)	csps256	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport (aka Developing a Sustainable Transport Network)	Yes	No	(2) Effective	CS8 B) safeguarding land as shown on the proposals map for Crossrail 2 Line - Add - release any surplus land not required in the implementation of the proposed infrastructure for development of other uses.	Not accepted.	No change. Council will only consider releasing land if directed to by the GLA/TfL, as it is not in a position yet to know how much land is required. If directed to release land, the Council would update the proposals map. Therefore, there is no need for this sentence to be included in the policy.	Not specified
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of Planning Policy)	csps229	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.3 - 11.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	Representations supports approach to develop a sustainable transport network, to improve orbital and north-south public transport connections, and to accommodate the growth in travel demand.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of	csps230	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.9 - 11.10	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Representation requests to include reference to investigating opportunities to improve connections into the Central Leaside area.	Accepted.	Amend second sentence, paragraph 11.9 as follows: "Given the problems associated with the increase in car ownership and congestion in the borough in recent	NO



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Planning Policy)								years, it is imperative to accommodate growth in travel demand in the most sustainable manner and to improve connectivity to key destinations within the borough and beyond, such as Stratford City or the Central Leaside area."	
405645	London Borough of Enfield (Joanne Woodward, Head of Planning Policy)	csps231	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.11	Yes	No	(2) Effective	The Council therefore requests that reference is made within this section to working with partners and neighbouring boroughs on Hall Farm Curve project.	Partly accepted.	Paragraph 11.9 references partnership working. To include neighbouring boroughs, amend paragraph 11.9 last sentence, to read as follows: "Strategic transport infrastructure improvements are therefore crucial in supporting future growth and regeneration in the borough and the Council will work closely with TfL, neighbouring boroughs, and other partners to ensure adequate capacity of the transport system."	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps251	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.11	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Opening of Lea Bridge Station and Stratford - Chingford Line: Whilst the benefits of providing transport improvements are supported by the Mayor, the low level of demand currently experienced does not justify the reopening of the station at present, although this may change with time and will need to be reviewed accordingly. The Mayor supports the principle of reinstating the Hall Farm Curve, although this should be the subject of further discussion with TfL and Network Rail.	Noted	No change required.	Not specified
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent)	csps103	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport	Yes	Yes	N/A	Approach to not increase capacity of highway network is supported.	Support noted.	No change.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	of Epping Forest )		- Paragraph 11.28							
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps137	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.31	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Representation suggests to add reference that streets are spaces in their own right and public realm treatments should be designed to reflect this; reference to Guidance document "Streets for All" proposed.	Accepted.	Amend paragraph 11.31 to read as follows: "Streets have more functions than catering for vehicular movement. They are spaces in their own right and public realm treatments should be designed to reflect this. In accordance with national guidance published by the Department for Transport in the Manual for Streets (place and movement status of street), English Heritage's Street for All, and the Mayor's Better Streets guidance, the Council will ensure that a street and indeed the highway network as a whole is considered holistically, with the anticipation to find an appropriate balance between the different users they cater for and between the movement and place specific requirements of a street and the network. Where appropriate the Council will seek to re-balance the amenity of the different road users and potentially reallocate road space, in order to remove the visual and functional dominance of motor vehicles in the street, especially in places with high pedestrian demand."	Not specified
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps102	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.33	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Suggest the following additional text to be added: The Council will work with the Conservators of Epping Forest through the Forest transport Strategy to enhance and upgrade crossing points between areas of Forest, gateways into the	Not accepted.	No Change. Our Core Strategy sets out our commitment to working with partners on reducing traffic impacts and encouraging sustainable transport, including Epping Forest, yet we do not seek to list all potential partners in the document. While the Council is	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							Forest and reduce the impact of traffic and increase safety at hotspots like Whipps Cross Road, Leytonstone and at the Queen Elizabeth's Hunting Lodge, Chingford.		committed to working with Epping Forest on all of the raised issues, the Council considers that this issues is too detailed and specific to fit into the Core Strategy, which has a strategic nature. The issues raised (i.e. minimising traffic impacts, improving safety, and improving access by sustainable modes) are in principle addressed in the policies of the Core Strategy (CS 8) and the Development Management DPD (Policy DM 14 -17), which set out more detailed policies that supplement the Core Strategy. The two documents need to be read in conjunction.	
510150	Mr Clive Narrainen	csps215	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Paragraph 11.36	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy found sound.	Support noted.	No change.	NO
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)	csps220	Chapter 11 - Policy CS8 - Developing Sustainable Transport - Figure 19 - Transport Connections	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Representation suggests to include opportunity and Regeneration Areas of neighbouring borough's, such as Redbridge, in Figure 19.	Not accepted.	No change. The diagram (and the arrows in particular) tend to indicate the importance of connectivity across the borough boundary. For consistency reasons the Council will not amend the diagram to show individual centres in adjoining boroughs.	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps17	Chapter 12 - Policy CS9 - Promoting Better Education	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy Noted and supported	Support Noted	No change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God	csps202	Chapter 13 - Policy CS10 - Creating More Jobs and Reducing	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Support for delivery of new education and training facilities in appropriate locations	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	(Agent: Angela Parikh, DP16)		Worklessness							
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps18	Chapter 13 - Policy CS10 - Creating More Jobs and Reducing Worklessness	Yes	Yes	N/A	Suggested wording alterations to policy CS10 and paragraph 13.12	Accepted	Amend policy CS10 to read as follows: "The Council will seek to maximise employment opportunities for its residents by: A) promoting the delivery of additional educational and training facilities in suitable locations, as part of new development or within established locations that present an appropriate opportunity. B) ensuring provision is made where appropriate in new development for the recruitment and training of local residents, through S106 agreements, or financial contributions towards such provision from any future tariff of local Community Infrastructure Levy; C) supporting infrastructure improvements that enhance residents' access to employment areas via public transport, foot and bicycle. Opportunities for the most vulnerable section of the community will be enhanced through focusing employment growth in the boroughs key growth areas.' Insert additional wording to the end of paragraph 13.12: "In the future, pooling of contributions may supersede or complement section 106 agreements and where this is the case funding may be sought through a tariff based approach or a local Community Infrastructure Levy"	NO
406010	Universal	csps197	Chapter 13 - Policy	Not	Not	N/A	Support aspiration to reduce	Support	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP12)		CS10 - Creating More Jobs and Reducing Worklessness - Paragraph 13.2	specified	specified		inequalities	noted		
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps120	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions	Not specified	Yes	N/A	Support policy CS11	Support noted	No change	NO
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps138	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Would like an explicit reference to the benefits of heritage assets as attractions for visitors, and a commitment to their conservation and enhancement	Accepted	Amend point E of policy CS11 to read: 'protecting and enhancing the quality of existing visitor attractions, including built heritage' A broader commitment to the protection and enhancement of heritage assets is set out in policy CS12 and accompanying text.	Not specified
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps19	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for references to 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Games	Support noted	No change	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps62	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions	Yes	Yes	N/A	Clarification that CS11 should not apply to Walthamstow Stadium should be made.	Comments noted	No change. Additional detail to policy CS11 is set out in DM Policies Preferred Options. Attention is drawn to DM23 point h, which states: 'The loss of tourist and leisure attractions to alternative uses will only be allowed where the need for such use no longer exists, or there are overriding regeneration benefits to their loss.' Any proposals for Walthamstow Stadium would therefore need to be assessed on this basis.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
507042	Save Our Stow (Mr David Cunningham, Member )	csps67	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Should be made clear that CS11 applies to Walthamstow Stadium	Comments noted	No change. Additional detail to policy CS11 is set out in DM Policies Preferred Options. Attention is drawn to DM23 point h, which states 'The loss of tourist and leisure attractions to alternative uses will only be allowed where the need for such use no longer exists, or there are overriding regeneration benefits to their loss.' Any proposals for Walthamstow Stadium would therefore need to be assessed on this basis.	YES
151474	New Lammas Lands Defence Committee (Ms Katy Andrews, Planning Liaison Officer)	csps161	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions - Paragraph 14.3 - 14.14	Yes	Yes	N/A	Any new tourist facilities in Epping Forest and Lee Valley Regional Park should be designed out.	Accepted.	No change. Point D of the policy sets out that any growth in visitor numbers at Epping Forest or Lee Valley Regional Park will need to be managed sensitively. Attention is also drawn to paragraph 37.7 of DM Policies Preferred Options; which sets a similar commitment, and policy DM23 part g, which states: 'Proposals that enable better public access to the borough's natural assets and open spaces, where it can be demonstrated that this will not compromise their unique character and biodiversity value, will be supported.'	YES
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP13)	csps199	Chapter 14 - Policy CS11 - Tourism Development and Visitor Attractions - Paragraph 14.10	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Site specific comments regarding EMD cinema proposals	Comments noted	No change. Comments are site specific and not relevant to Core Strategy policies	NO
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps105	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Yes	No	(2) Effective	We would propose that adding a additional row to the list under the policy as F): F) Planning permission would not be granted for any development or change of use which could prejudice or	Not Accepted	No change. The suggested policy statement is considered more appropriate for inclusion in the emerging Development Management Policies Document.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
							otherwise adversely affect protected and important heritage assets and their settings, including those assets that lie outside existing Conservation Areas;			
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps139	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Suggested amendment to Policy CS12 (A) to read as follows: "A) conserving and enhancing the significance of the borough's heritage assets and their settings such as conservation areas, listed buildings, parks and gardens of local historic interest, archaeological priority zones and other buildings and spaces of local historic value"	Accepted	Amend policy sentence to read as follows: "Conserving and enhancing the significance of the borough's heritage assets and their settings such as conservation areas, listed buildings, parks and gardens of local historic interest, archaeological priority zones and other buildings and spaces of local historic value"	Not specified
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps20	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Yes	Yes	N/A	Noted and supported	Support Noted	No Change	NO
183277	Mr Dennis Tilley	csps239	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The areas of special character that should be mentioned in these plans is not considered such as my own the Belle Vue Park Estate, which is steeped in history and interesting family homes.	Not Accepted	The Council considered the possible designation of Belle Vue Park Estate in 2006. Whilst noting that the area contained a variety of interesting and attractive buildings, they were neither particularly unusual nor exceptional, with similar examples being found throughout the borough to justify designation as Area of Special Character. Further supporting evidence from the Waltham Forest Characterisation study does not justify the need to treat the Belle Vue Estate differently from many other similar	Not specified



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									areas.	
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps63	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Yes	Yes	N/A	L&Q support Policy CS12 but would refer the Council to paragraph HE11 of PPS5 regarding 'enabling development' and objectives to realise the optimum viable use of an asset.	Support Noted	No Change. In addition to the policies set out in the Core Strategy, the assessment of a planning application will take into consideration PPS5 policy requirements.	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP17)	csps203	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the significance placed on the Borough's heritage assets in terms of their potential to be the focus for regeneration initiatives providing a sense of community.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
507042	Save Our Stow (Mr David Cunningham, Member )	csps68	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets - Paragraph 15.3	Yes	No	(2) Effective	Given the importance that the listed elements of Walthamstow Greyhound stadium site brings to the borough, the policy should specifically mention the heritage asset importance of this stadium site and specifically protect it from inappropriate and speculative development for other uses.	Not Accepted	No Change. Listed Buildings are identified in the emerging Development Management Policies Document.	YES
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP14)	csps200	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets - Paragraph 15.18	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the significance placed on the Borough's heritage assets in terms of their potential to be the focus for regeneration initiatives providing a sense of community.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP15)	csps201	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets - Paragraph 15.19	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the significance placed on the Borough's heritage assets in terms of their potential to be the focus for regeneration initiatives providing a sense of community.	Support Noted	No Change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
504786	City of London (Paul Thomson, Superintendent of Epping Forest )	csps104	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets - Paragraph 15.19	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Conservators of Epping Forest are currently actively developing the Coach Houses and the Queen Elizabeth's Hunting Lodge at Chingford (with Heritage Lottery Funding) to increase access to it, maximise learning opportunities and maximise its potential at an important gateway to the Borough. We would suggest that this project - part of the Conservators' Branching Out Project could be singled out as an example of this type of work and we welcome further support from the Council in ensuring the long-term future for this enhanced historic asset.	No Change	The Council acknowledges the Conservators' Branching Out Project to develop the Coach Houses and the Queen Elizabeth's Hunting Lodge at Chingford and the important role it will play in increasing to heritage assets and maximising learning opportunities. However it is noted that this project is presently being delivered. If included in the Core Strategy document, this information would become dated in a relatively short period of time.	NO
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps143	Chapter 15 - Policy CS12 - Protecting and Enhancing Heritage Assets - Appendix 4 - Table 11 - Key Evidence for the Core Strategy	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Planning Policy Statement 5, Planning for the Historic Environment (PPS5) (2010) should be identified within evidence base, along with the Historic Environment Planning Practice Guide (2010). The evidence base should also include any Conservation Area Management Plans.	Accepted.	Add to Appendix 4: 1. Planning Policy Statement 5 - Planning for the Historic Environment 2. PPS5 Planning for the Historic Environment, Historic Environment Practice Guide (2010). 3. Conservation Area Appraisals and Management Plans 4. Guidance on Tall Buildings - English Heritage/CABE July 2007	Not specified
407402	NHS London Healthy Urban Development Unit (Malcolm Souch)	csps117	Chapter 16 - Policy CS13 - Promoting Health and Well Being	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for the additional wording to Policy CS13'Promoting Health and Well-Being' and the justification text which strengthens and refines the cross-cutting approach to health.	Support noted	No change	NO
183683	North East London NHS Foundation	csps179	Chapter 16 - Policy CS13 - Promoting Health and Well	Yes	Yes	N/A	Welcomes the priority to promote health and well being in the borough and in particular to	Support noted	No change	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Trust (Agent: Mark Underwood, Drivers Jonas Deloitte)		Being				improve access to health facilities.			
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps21	Chapter 16 - Policy CS13 - Promoting Health and Well Being	Yes	Yes	N/A	The policy is noted and supported. The reference to maximising the benefits of the Olympic Legacy in the context of promoting health and well-being is welcomed.	Support noted	No change	NO
506895	London & Quadrant Housing Trust (Agent: Mr Adrian Kearley, AKA Planning)	csps64	Chapter 16 - Policy CS13 - Promoting Health and Well Being	Yes	Yes	N/A	L&Q support Policy CS13. Under the supporting text, we would wish to add in recognition of the benefits of social infrastructure facilities such as allotments, both for the provision of exercise and for the promotion of healthy eating.	Support noted	No change - Benefits of allotments and other social infrastructure are covered in chapters CS6 and CS4 respectively.	NO
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps89	Chapter 16 - Policy CS13 - Promoting Health and Well Being - Paragraph 16.3	Yes	Yes	N/A	The document draws reference to the 2007 IMD	Accepted	The forth sentence in paragraph 16.3 should be rewritten to read: "According to the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) 2007, Waltham Forest is ranked 27th most deprived local authority out of the 354 in England."	NO
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps121	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Not specified	Yes	N/A	We support Policy CS14 which will direct new cultural uses to town centres and encourage an evening economy.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
186928	Tesco Store Limited (Agent: Emma Bearmore, GL Hearn)	csps149	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Yes	Yes	N/A	The identification of Hinghams Park as a District Centre is supported.	Support Noted	No Change	YES

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
151096	Metropolitan Police Authority/Metropolitan Police Service (Agent: Mr John Smith, Cgms)	csps166	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	No	No	(3) Consistent with national policy	Part of the MPA's estate strategy comprises the introduction of police shops and contact points in which the public can interact easily with police officers. Locations within town/local centres provide ideal locations for such facilities as they are considered to provide similar footfall to an A2 unit. The MPA/MPS requests that the additional bullet point: 'Supporting the development of community facilities, including policing facilities' be added	Not Accepted	No Change. Policy CS4 deals with social infrastructure matters generally. Paragraph 7.2 specifically refers to 'Facilities for the emergency services including police, fire and ambulance'. The Council considers that an appropriate reference to this issue has been made.	NO
150864	Barclays Bank plc (Agent: Michael Fearn, Shire Consulting)	csps187	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Not specified	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective; (3) Consistent with national policy	The policy should recognise the benefit of bank uses in fostering footfall and pedestrian activity. This Policy should be rewritten to make clear that financial services retailers such as the Bank are appropriate in all designated shopping frontages, without restriction and that this will be reflected in the subsequent Development Management Policies DPD and any other relevant Local Development Documents.	Not Accepted	No Change The explanatory text to this policy as in paragraph 17.15 makes clear that in creating a sustainable pattern/distribution of town centre uses, the Council will ensure an appropriate grouping of uses. Whilst specific reference has been made to retail uses, the thrust of the policy also supports mixed uses in appropriate locations. The emerging Development Management Policies document includes a criteria based approach which does not exclude the development of bank uses within designated frontages.	Not specified
406010	Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (Agent: Angela Parikh, DP18)	csps204	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The UCKG supports the Council's intention to direct certain uses to the town centre in order to create areas of vibrancy and economic growth.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex	csps22	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Yes	Yes	N/A	It would be helpful to set the approach to town centres within its wider sub-region and the functions	Comment Noted	No Change. The regional/sub-regional context regarding the network of centres and their relationships	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)						of significant centres outside of the borough.		including the role of designated Metropolitan, Major and District Centres is set out in the London Plan. The Waltham Forest Retail and Leisure Study covers a catchment area wider than the borough and takes into account the relationship with other town centres outside the borough.	
510426	London Borough of Redbridge (John Pearce, Head of Planning Policy)	csps225	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres	Yes	Yes	N/A	Redbridge also supports Policy CC14 (Attractive Town centres) in particular the policy tool to create sustainable patterns of town centre uses and manage the proliferation of particular uses, such as hot food takeaways.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps124	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres - Paragraph 17.4	Not specified	Yes	N/A	Para.17.4 states that Waltham Forest has a relatively poor range of commercial, leisure, entertainment and cultural facilities. We are pleased that Waltham Forest admits this deficiency but although residents may have good access to facilities in neighbouring Boroughs this is no excuse for not providing such facilities in your own Borough and contradicts the message in the Cultural Strategy.	Comment Noted	No Change. Policy CS11 encourages cultural developments in the borough.	NO
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps125	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres - Paragraph 17.19	Not specified	Yes	N/A	Para.17.19 states that the plan positively encourages the provision of performance spaces for arts and cultural activities but this is clearly erroneous as we are unable to find a policy in the document that pursues this aspiration.	Accepted	Policy CS11(B) provides the policy context for encouraging cultural developments in the borough. This includes the provision of performance spaces for arts and cultural activities. Paragraph 17.19 - add cross reference to Policy CS11 to read as follows: "This plan also positively encourages the development of appropriate cultural	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
									and community facilities (Policy CS11)".	
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps140	Chapter 17 - Policy CS14 - Attractive and Vibrant Town Centres - Paragraph 17.22	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	We look forward to reviewing the High Street Life Strategy	Comment Noted	No Change	Not specified
183298	Workspace Group (Agent: Andrew Ransome, Director, Ransome and Company Ltd)	csps193	Chapter 18 - Policy CS15 - Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces	Not specified	No	(2) Effective	It is considered that the policy is too inflexible and makes no allowance for other factors. The policy places the highest quality of design above all other planning considerations, such as feasibility and viability. The policy focuses too much on high-quality architectural design and townscape, at the expense of a variety of environmental, social and economic factors that should have a strong influence on the design of sustainable development.	Not Accepted	No Change. The DCLG circular 01/2006 and the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, compulsorily requires applicants to provide a Design & Access Statement as part of any planning application. The purpose of the Design Statement is to demonstrate how the proposal satisfies the urban design principles and policies in the adopted plan. It provides the opportunity to justify the design principles put forward in terms of how the development fits into and/or enhances the area and the overall design merits of the scheme. The Council considers that this provides the mechanism for additional factors including environmental, social and economic factors to be considered as part of the design solution.	NO
510021	Natural England (Isabel Assaly, Land Management, Planning and Conservation Adviser)	csps212	Chapter 18 - Policy CS15 - Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Sustainable design through the application of GI (green roofs, walls and SUDSs) should also be considered.	Comment Noted	No Change. Paragraph 18.4 recognises the role design has to play towards lessening the impact of climate change. Cross reference is made to Policy CS5.	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps24	Chapter 18 - Policy CS15 - Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy welcomed and supported.	Support Noted	No Change	NO
451416	The Greater London Authority (Christine McGoldrick)	csps242	Chapter 18 - Policy CS15 - Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces - Paragraph 18.12	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	The informal comments provided to the draft Core Strategy prior to Christmas have been noted and the policy reason justification now reads well in terms of ensuring that tall buildings are considered subject to them not having an unacceptably harmful impact on their surroundings.	Support Noted	No Change	Not specified
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps141	Chapter 18 - Policy CS15 - Well Designed Buildings, Places and Spaces - Paragraph 18.14	Not specified	No	(1) Justified; (2) Effective	In terms of tall buildings and the protection of the historic environment the Core Strategy fails to comply sufficiently with para. 4.1 (3) of PPS12. In that the Core Strategy does not delivery a plan-led approach for the management of tall buildings that provides sufficient protection for the historic environment. CS15 does not set out sufficiently where, when, and by what means tall buildings will be delivered or located. Nor does it clearly set out the rationale behind the appropriateness of tall buildings in the Borough. This is contrary to PPS1, PPS5 and PPS12.	Accepted	Amend policy sentence to read as follows: "address issues of height and scale sensitively. Subject to detailed analysis of their impact on local and historic context and other key criteria set out in the English Heritage/CABE guidance tall buildings may be appropriate in specific locations within the key growth areas of Blackhorse Lane, Northern Olympic Fringe, Walthamstow Town Centre and Wood Street. In exceptional circumstances, tall buildings may also be appropriate at other locations to be identified in the Site Specific Allocations Document."	YES
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins,	csps82	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer	Yes	Yes	N/A	Suggested wording alteration to CS16 to refer to practices of designing out crime and secured	Accepted	Amend point a of policy CS16 to read: "minimise opportunities for criminal behaviour by requiring all forms of new	NO



## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )						by design		development to incorporate the principles and practices of Designing out Crime and Secured by Design".	
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps33	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.1	Yes	Yes	N/A	Policy found sound	Support noted	No change	NO
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps77	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.1	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support of paragraph 19.1	Support noted	No change	NO
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps78	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.02	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for paragraph 19.2	Support noted	No change	Not specified
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps80	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.3	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for paragraph 19.3	Support noted	No change	Not specified
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps83	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.5	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for paragraph 19.5	Support noted	No change	Not specified
150709	Metropolitan Police Service	csps85	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making	Yes	Yes	N/A	Support for paragraph 19.9	Support noted	No change	Not specified

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	(Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )		Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.9							
150709	Metropolitan Police Service (Paul Hawkins, Police Crime Prevention & Design Adviser )	csps87	Chapter 19 - Policy CS16 - Making Waltham Forest Safer - Paragraph 19.15	Yes	Yes	N/A	Suggested wording alterations so the principles and practices of secured by design are referred to	Accepted	Amend first sentence of paragraph 19.15 to read: "The Council will ensure that community safety measures are implemented in the borough by ensuring that planning applications for new developments demonstrate how a development will incorporate the principles and practices of 'Secured by Design' and 'Designing out Crime'"	Not specified
183277	Mr Dennis Tilley	csps238	Chapter 20 - Implementation and Delivery - Paragraph 20.01	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	Monitoring is a key-word in some of this documentation, but it needs to be accurately monitored and action taken such as enforcement if required.	Comment Noted	No change. The Council has a wide range of enforcement powers and acknowledges the need to exercise this power. The level of service and performance for the different enforcement functions which the public and businesses can expect, are published in other documents including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Corporate Aims and Objectives</li> <li>• Appropriate National Performance Plans and Indicators</li> <li>• Environmental Services Business Plan</li> <li>• Service Guides and other information leaflets</li> </ul> There is no need to change the plan or include additional safeguards.	Not specified
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy	csps25	Chapter 20 - Implementation and Delivery - Paragraph 20.1	Yes	Yes	N/A	The approach to delivery of social and physical infrastructure is welcomed. Coordinated working with agencies such as the Olympic Delivery Authority is also	Accepted	Amend reference to Olympic Delivery Agency to read as 'Olympic Delivery Authority'.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
	and Relationships)						welcomed (although the reference to 'Olympic Delivery Agency' should be corrected to read 'Authority').			
152278	The Olympic Delivery Authority (Alex Savine, Chief Planner, Policy and Relationships)	csps26	Chapter 20 - Implementation and Delivery - Paragraph 20.6	Yes	Yes	N/A	a) The IDP should be extended to embrace all known investment from private and voluntary sectors in addition to public sector investment. b) It would also be helpful to reference the evidence source(s) for the infrastructure items that are identified. It is assumed that a review process will be in place for updating the IDP within the lifetime of the Core Strategy and it would be helpful for this section to set out that review process.	Comment Noted	No change. a) The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) details the main infrastructure elements identified by the Council and other service providers as being critical to support the delivery of the Core Strategy. The list of projects included within the IDP is not intended to be exhaustive, as the process of implementation is expected to change in response to local circumstances, new opportunities and drivers and other delivery mechanisms. b) The evidence sources for the preparing the IDP is well documented in the study reports published on the Council's website.	NO
508400	London Borough of Hackney (Mr Graham Loveland)	csps147	Chapter 20 - Implementation and Delivery - Paragraph 20.7	Yes	Yes	N/A	The Infrastructure Delivery Plan makes little reference to local flood mitigation measures and no reference to any strategic flood defence infrastructure. Given the significant flood risk in key growth areas, it is recommended that this plan (and / or relevant Area Action Plans) identify flood defence infrastructure for these areas, even if no specific item has been scheduled at present.	Comment Noted	No Change. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out requirements for new infrastructure needed to accommodate expected levels of growth. The Councils Level 2 SFRA recommends against additional investment in existing strategic flood defences; due to the detrimental impact this could have on areas downstream. Mitigation and compensation measures will be informed once detailed site proposals are known, and are therefore better dealt with through either a refresh of the SFRA as AAPs are progressed, or site specific FRAs as planning applications are submitted.	NO

## Core Strategy Consultation Report

Respondent ID	Respondent	Representation ID	Consultation Point	Legal compliance	Soundness	Unsound because it is not	Summary	Outcome	Council's Response	Oral examination
151082	English Heritage (Graham Saunders, Senior Regional Planning Advisor - London Region )	csps142	Chapter 21 - Monitoring - Appendix 2 - Table 9 - Monitoring Indicators and Targets	Not specified	Not specified	N/A	More accurately, the Heritage at Risk register should be identified within the monitoring Indicator column, while the targets should be removal of all assets from the register.	Accepted	Amend the information relating to SO12 as follows: Monitoring Indicators (Column) Insert 'Heritage at Risk Register' Main Target - Delete reference to 'Number of Properties on the Heritage at Risk' and replace with 'Removal of all assets from the Heritage at Risk Register'.	Not specified
152301	The Theatres Trust (Rose Freeman, Planning Policy Officer )	csps127	Glossary	Not specified	Yes	N/A	The Glossary has separate descriptions for Community Facilities and Social Infrastructure. The two terms have the same meaning and although it would be useful to keep the two entries, they should share a more succinct description.	Comment Noted	No change. The Council considers it necessary to keep the separate descriptions for better clarity.	NO

## Table of Respondents

Form = Representation form

Respondent	Respondent ID	Submission Type
Aviva Life & Pensions UK Ltd	406764	Form
Barclays Bank Plc	150864	Letter
City & Provincial Properties Plc	376691	Form
City of London	504786	Web
Clive Narrainen	510150	Form
Cunningham	507115	Web
Dennis Tilley	183277	Email
English Heritage	151082	Letter/Email
Environment Agency	504551	Web
Essex County Council	507987	Form
Firat Posluoglu	402948	Form
George Trevor Goodchild	505444	Web
Her Majesty's Court Service	510456	Form
Highways Agency	183809	Letter
Hollivale Limited	509376	Form
Kennet Properties Limited	184020	Form
Lee Valley Regional Park	183754	Web
London & Quadrant Housing Trust	506895	Web
London Borough of Enfield	405645	Form
London Borough of Hackney	508400	Form
London Borough of Newham	499441	Web
London Borough of Redbridge	510426	Form
London Development Agency	152204	Form
Mehmet Balaman	402769	Form
Metropolitan Police Authority/Metropolitan Police Service	151096	Form
Metropolitan Police Service	150709	Web
National Grid	150911	Form
Natural England	510021	Letter
Network Rail	518066	Letter
New Lammas Land Defence Committee	151474	Form
NHS London Healthy Urban Development Unit	407402	Form
North East London NHS Foundation Trust	183683	Form
Rapleys	403791	Web
Sandra Macphee	151341	Form
Save Our Stow	507042	Web
Simon Munk	151476	Web
Tesco Stores Ltd	186928	Form
Thames Water Utilities Ltd	151002	Form
The Coal Authority	169546	Letter
The Greater London Authority	451416	Letter
The Mall Limited Partnership	509339	Form
The Olympic Delivery Authority	152278	Web
The Olympic Park Legacy Company	510507	Form
The Theatres Trust	152301	Form
UK Power Networks (Operations) Ltd	404194	Web/Form/Email
Universal Church of the Kingdom of God (UCKG)	406010	Form
Whipps Cross University NHS Trust	423702	Web
Workspace Group	183298	Form



**Waltham Forest**

**Waltham Forest Town Hall**  
Forest Road, Walthamstow, E17 4JF

Tel: 020 8496 3000