|  |
| --- |
| **KEY** |
| **Y** | **YES** |
| **N** | **NO** |
| **NS** | **NOT STATED** |
| **PP** | **Positively Prepared** |
| **Jus** | **Justified** |
| **Ef** | **Effective** |
| **CNP** | **Compliant with National Policy (NPPF)** |
| **DTC** | **Duty-to-Cooperate** |
| **Attend LP-Ex** | **Attend Local Plan Examination Hearing Session**  |

**The purpose of this LP1 Pre-Submission Consultation Report addendum is to capture a small number of representations were not included in the original consultation reports:**

* **KD8.1:**[**Schedule Representation in Plan Order**](https://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/node/10148)
* **KD8.2:**[**Schedule of Representations in Respondent Name Order**](https://www.walthamforest.gov.uk/node/10149)

**The Council expects the entry in this addendum to be a one-off event however, this will be kept as a live document to allow further representations to be logged if they emerge.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Rep Number** | **Consultee Name** | **Policy**  | **Para** | **Chapter**  | **Page** | **Sound****Y / N / NS** | **DTC****Y/N/****NS** | **Leg****Y/N/ NS** | **Attend****LP-Ex****Y/N** | **Comment** |
| **PP** | **Jus** | **Ef** | **CNP** |
| **851** | **G Reeve** | **11** |  | **7** | **49** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **Y** | **I note that a number of Site Opportunity Locations were included in your LPI (Regulation 19) consultation but were not included in this LP2 Site Allocation Consultation, as they are not regarded as Key or Strategic Sites. I believe this is potentially misleading due to the impact development of these sites could have on the character of Highams Park and other areas across the Borough and these sites should not have been included in the Submission Version of the Local Plan consultation without proper consultation.** |
| **852** | **G Reeve** | **3** |  | **4** | **18** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **NS** | **Y** | **I have reviewed the Proposed Submission Local Plan LP1 and comment as follows:****Although the Council's Labour Group pledged to campaign to reopen the Hall Farm Curve (HFC) in its 2018 election manifesto, Policy 3 -'lnfrastructure for Growth', which identifies priorities for key infrastructure projects, does not include the Hall Farm Curve. It is the most important link which aligns with one of the six golden threads ' Ensuring growth is sustainable and supported by infrastructure', the Council's commitment to introduce improvements and priority for sustainable modes of transport and to improve air quality in the Borough. It would connect Central Waltham Forest and North Waltham Forest, where it is planned to have an additional 8300 homes, with the key public transport interchange and growth area for employment, education and leisure opportunities at Stratford.****To support the Council's commitment to reopening the Hall Farm Curve, it should be included in the list of projects in Policy 3.** |
| **853** | **G Reeve**  |  |  |  |  | **N** | **N** | **N** | **N** | **N** | **NS** |  | **ln addition, I share the same views as those expressed by the Highams Park Planning Group in their letter of****27th November 2020 that some policy statements in the Submission Version of the Local Plan (SLP) are****unsound and/or the Council has failed in its duty to cooperate****Due to the heavy reliance on Site Opportunity Locations in the SLP to meet the Council's housing targets, a more****rigorous and thorough consultation, as part of the LP2 Site Allocations Consultation, should have been****undertaken regarding Site Opportunity Locations in Highams Park and across the Borough before their inclusion****in Figure 4.1. in the SLP.** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |